





























PC Recommended

Translation Baseline Map - Equivalency Table

	OS		INS
	AG		M-1
	HM		LI
	RT		HI
	R1		CC-1
	R2		CC-2
	R3		CC-3
	R4		CC-4
	R5		PD
	R6		Muni.
	MH		Water
	RC		EMP
	MU1		MU2 (This is the PC RECOMMENDATION and is subject to change.)
	GC		MU3

Richland County Rewrite Remapping Restart

This map is to be used as a baseline starting point for the Restart discussion. This draft utilizes Table 26-1.9(e): Zoning District Equivalencies within the 2021 Land Development Code (LDC) zoning districts as a baseline starting point for discussion purposes. The equivalency table, as it currently exists within the text, looks at the similarities in zoning codes in relation to the intent, development type, and overall character. Table 26-1.9(e) does not treat districts within the 2005 and 2021 LDC as equal.

This draft map is subject to change and is not binding. Changes will likely occur to standards and use permissions to facilitate more exact translations where determined necessary. At this time, items are being utilized for discussion and analysis purposes for furthering the Remapping process per the Restart. Table 26-1.9(e) will likely see a change to a "translation" table specifically, where items would be treated as equal.