



D

PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION MANAGEMENT AD HOC COMMITTEE
September 18, 2018 – 11:00 AM
Administration Conference Room
2020 Hampton Street, Columbia, SC 29204

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: Dalhi Myers, Chair; Yvonne McBride and Calvin “Chip” Jackson

OTHERS PRESENT: Katherine Thibaudeau, Michelle Onley, Brandon Madden, Sandra Yudice, Randy Pruitt, Michael Niermeier, Art Braswell, Stacey Hamm, Chris Cowan and Harry Polis

CALL TO ORDER – Ms. Myers called the meeting to order at approximately 11:00 a.m.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA – Ms. McBride moved, seconded by Mr. C. Jackson, to adopt the agenda as published. The vote in favor was unanimous.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: July 24, 2018 – Mr. C. Jackson moved, seconded by Ms. McBride, to approve the minutes with the correction of a typo that Ms. Myers will get with Ms. Onley about. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Ms. Myers inquired, regarding the memo the committee received last night, when was the memo provided to the Clerk’s Office.

Ms. Onley stated the memo was provided to the Clerk’s Office at approximately 4:00 PM.

Ms. Myers stated, for future reference, she requested that all backup documentation, for this committee, be provided no later than 48 hours in advance of the meeting, so the committee members have time to prepare adequately for the meeting. She stated she came by on her way to Atlanta, and had no backup documents, and had to struggle to get them at 1:00 AM.

RCSD 911 Communications Center – Property Acquisition Letter of Intent – Mr. Niermeier stated most of them ideas are for Executive Session, which could include the 911 Center.

The matter before you is the need that has been identified, and was brought up by Mr. Pearce’s motion back in June, for an Emergency Services’ needs, including the EOC and the 911 Center. We are at a place where we need to find a location, find the funding, and make a decision to move forward with carrying out the contract on that. Things that can happen first, include getting an architect of record on to start the design process, regardless of a specific location. If we are going to reuse a building that adds a few “twists and turns” that have to be addressed with the architect to make sure the structure is compliant, or can be made complaint within reason, for an emergency type building. The recommendation would be to use an existing structure. We have property that could be built on also.

Ms. Myers inquired as to which structure staff is suggesting.

Mr. Niermeier stated when he wrote the memo he was thinking the Haverty’s, which is not ideal, but it is there. After talking with Chief Cowan, and some of the requirements that were explained to him, it probably would not fit. He would prefer to build a building, but because every day we get closer to the Sheriff’s Department taking over the 911 Center. It has already been pushed back a couple time. What we would like to do is find a new existing structure or something that can be built.

Ms. Myers inquired if Mr. Niermeier's analysis takes into consideration the offer with the Best Buy.

Mr. Niermeier stated he believes that was something that was discussed at the Council meeting. He does not know if it was accepted or rejected.

Mr. Madden stated it was referred back to this committee for a recommendation.

Ms. Myers stated the written analysis Mr. Niermeier does not take that into account.

Mr. Niermeier stated, if we did purchase an existing building, that one is in mind right now. It has adequate room to fit what the Sheriff Department needs. It has plenty of parking space for something else to be developed on that property. They would not potentially use all of 50,000 sq. ft. of the building, so there is room to be used down the road.

Mr. C. Jackson inquired if there has been a formal conversation with the Sheriff's Department, with regards to this property, and what can and cannot be done, another location, what will and will not work, and the costs involved. He hears us talking about doing architectural designs and buying locations, but at the end of the day the building would be occupied, run and managed by the Sheriff's Department, so he does not want the County to take the lead on something we are not going to be responsible for.

Mr. Niermeier stated he does not believe there have been formal discussions, but he has had discussions with Chief Cowan about this.

Mr. C. Jackson stated the last conversation Council had was that the cost of the Best Buy building was at the max of the funds that had been allocated, which begs the question, that if in fact, the \$2 million can be given to the purchase of the building, and there are no funds for the upfitting of the building, and the Sheriff's Department has a mechanism to do that. The last thing he remembers hearing from them was that their preference was the Best Buy building.

Ms. Myers stated she does not understand where we are if the Sheriff's Department has unequivocally said this is the building we think best suits our needs, the debating it between and amongst us, unless they have informed us.

Ms. McBride stated she recalls that conversation, but she also recalls members wanting to know about the costs. If the County is footing the costs, and we are getting space that is not needed, that is one of the issues that someone raised. She stated that was one of her concerns, even though she agrees with everything that Mr. C. Jackson is saying, and that should be up to the Sheriff, but staff is doing their due diligence in trying to find the most effective costs where we work together on it. The bottom line is what the Sheriff's Office needs, but at the same time, is it within our budget. That is why it was forwarded to this committee.

Mr. Niermeier stated, that is the question, the funding. As indicated, there is \$2 million on the table.

Ms. Myers inquired as to what the upfit costs. That is the question we are waiting on staff to bring us back an answer to.

Mr. Niermeier stated, if you are looking at a space like that, it is almost \$200 sq. ft. new, so if you are talking renovation it could be \$120-125.

Ms. Myers inquired if we have run that analysis.

Mr. Madden stated in order to get a concise figure on what it would cost to upfit Best Buy we would have to engage an expert firm to do so. We can rely on Mr. Niermeier's expertise, as Capital Projects Manager,

to provide an estimate, but it would not be a concise number. His estimate is based upon square footage for upfitting that type of facility. We could give you an estimate, but it would not be concise enough.

Ms. McBride inquired if the Sheriff's Department would prefer a new building or to upfit an existing building.

Chief Cowan stated they believe upfitting a building would be the best fit based on the time needs and the space allocation needs.

Ms. McBride stated she was thinking there was property because oftentimes she heard that it costs as much to build as to upfit an existing building. She stated that was her concern, based on those costs, but because of the time element maybe that is something that can be removed from the plate.

Mr. Madden stated we are at a point now with the Best Buy owners where we can move forward at \$2 million. We can present a letter of intent or a contract and provide a provision in there that will allow for a due diligence period of 120 – 150 days. During the due diligence period, we could engage an architectural firm to see what the costs would be. If the costs is more or less than what Council thinks we would should invest in that property to upfit it, we can then back out of the project and identify another property or during the due diligence period we can, in conjunction with going through the contract, look for another property. If it gets to a point where it is not cost effective to upfit the property, or it is \$10 million to upfit it, we could back out of the deal.

Ms. Myers inquired if any of our discussions with the owner asked them about bearing some of the costs of upfit.

Mr. Madden stated we have talked about it. They really wanted to lease the property, so now they are just saying "as is".

Mr. C. Jackson stated, for him, before any of that happens, Council has been real clear that they have no desire to spend any funds beyond \$2 million; therefore, there needs to be conversation, before we engage an architectural firm, about who is going to bear the costs of the upfitting, regardless of what it is. If in fact, the Best Buy bottom line number is the \$2 million because the Council members he has heard from have said, if the building is purchased for \$2 million and there are no funds left, what would be the plan? It does not sound like the building is going to be purchased for less \$2 million, so now the question becomes, if we purchase for \$2 million, where will the money come from? He does not get the sense that a majority of Council is looking to provide any additional funds beyond the \$2 million.

Mr. Niermeier stated, if we talk between refitting and renovating, he does not know the costs for new equipment, but it could potentially be \$6- 7 million.

Ms. Myers stated what she is hearing from the committee is that we really would like some accurate information on the costs of upfit, and whether or not there is a possibility of getting the building for less than \$2 million, and if that number all in would essentially keep us where the Council has indicated it would be willing to do.

Mr. C. Jackson stated he would be glad to make the motion to have staff provide information on the costs of the upfit, to explore the possibility of purchasing the Best Buy for less than \$2 million, and if that all in number would keep us where Council has indicated they want to be. Additionally, he would like to know who would be responsible for providing any funding beyond the \$2 million. Ms. McBride seconded the motion. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. Madden inquired if Mr. C. Jackson was looking at other potential municipalities as a source of funding.

Mr. C. Jackson stated any source of funding that would cover the upfitting.

Proposed Sale of 26.5 Acre Tract at the North end of Paso Fino Drive to Dr. Chuck Davis

***The Committee went into Executive Session at approximately 11:17 PM
and came out at approximately 11:29 PM.***

Ms. McBride moved, seconded by Mr. C. Jackson, to accept the recommendation of staff to counter offer the appraised value. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Donation of Property from RSD2 at 7561 Brookfield Rd. – Mr. Madden stated this item was initiated by Richland School District Two. They own a significant piece of property directly across from Richland Northeast High School. They want to donate this property to the County. We have done our due diligence and inspected it. There is some illegal dumping, but most people use it as a walk through. They would like for the County to accept and be responsible for it. At this time, we do not have a defined use for the property, but it could potentially be used for the Dentsville Magistrate Office. Council approved purchasing property on O'Neill Court years ago for the Dentsville Magistrate Office. We did a study to see how much it would cost to renovate it for a magistrate office. The cost to retrofit that property was extremely expensive, so we have had informal discussions with Chief Edmond. Chief Edmond is not leaning towards the O'Neill Court property, but he is looking for another other property to potentially locate a Dentsville Magistrate Office. This site could be ideal for that. It would just be a new build, which would include clearing, grading and building. He has discussed it with our Operational Services Director, Mr. Pruitt, who toured the property.

Ms. McBride inquired why the O'Neill Court property was purchased in the first place.

Mr. Madden stated to put a magistrate facility there.

Ms. McBride stated, for clarification, Judge Edmond says it is not appropriate.

Mr. Madden stated the property was purchased before the current Chief Magistrate. It goes back to trying to estimate how much it would cost to upfit it, and when GMK, which is building the Hopkins and Upper Township Magistrate, did a report on how much it would cost to actually design, because of the way the property is situated you have one entry to go in, so they would have to demolish a piece of the building.

Ms. McBride inquired who makes these decisions to purchase property like that.

Mr. Madden stated it was a recommendation from staff to Council. The Chief Magistrate at that time identified the property, and he presented the recommendation to Council for their approval.

Ms. McBride stated, in the future, we have to be much more careful about buying property because somebody wants you to buy the property. This is right in the middle of District 3. He has already moved one magistrate office out of District 3 into District 7. She needs to know where this office plans to go because for him to move 2 magistrate offices would be too much for her accept.

Ms. Myers stated this site is right across from Richland Northeast High School.

Ms. McBride stated he keeps moving them from District 3, and so we do not have anything in District 3 because he is moving them out. The last one was supposed to be in District 3, but they ended up moving it out Wilson Road (Upper Township), which is District 7 without consulting anybody about it. Prior to signing off on anything she would like to know the location.

Mr. Niermeier stated, if you recall, we started looking at this back earlier in the winter and putting it in with Columbia Place Mall. It moved from Decker to Columbia Place Mall.

Ms. Myers stated she thought Ms. McBride was in favor of the one at the Columbia Place Mall.

Ms. McBride stated they never fully discussed it. It was thrown around, so she is careful about approving anything for the Columbia Place Mall.

Ms. Myers inquired if there are any hazards on it. She stated we do not have to decide on the use.

Mr. Pruitt stated there is 2 different pieces of property. One was basically an old park, which should go to the Recreation Commission. The other could be used by the Dentsville Magistrate Office.

Ms. Myers stated let's discuss the potential uses for the property, and whether it is a logic thing for use to accept. Uses come way down the road. She stated, for clarification, this is an offer to donate. She inquired if staff is recommending we should or should not accept the property.

Mr. Madden stated Richland School District Two wants the County to bear the cost of the closing attorney.

Mr. C. Jackson stated bigger than that is it is going to take it off the tax rolls.

Dr. Yudice stated it is not on the tax rolls because the school district owns it.

Ms. McBride inquired as to what the cost of maintaining the property. She stated we are trying to sell property, and they are giving us property.

Mr. Pruitt stated, if we decided to accept the donation, it is all natural. It is all greenspace and there would be no maintaining. There is no hazards or anything located on this particular piece. The other portion we could be responsible to clean up by the City code enforcement, and he would recommend that go to the Richland County Recreation Commission, and not the Richland County proper.

Ms. McBride inquired how this will help the school district.

Mr. Madden stated so they would not be liable for it.

Ms. Myers stated their kids are probably cutting through it, and they want somebody else to be responsible.

Ms. McBride stated they will not be responsible, but the kids will still cut through and we will be responsible.

Mr. C. Jackson stated he believes the smaller piece was donated to them not to long ago.

Ms. Myers stated Mr. C. Jackson has a unique perspective on this because she has no clue why the district, other than the liability, would want...

Mr. C. Jackson stated that is the main reason. It is right down the street from the high school, and all kind of nefarious things can happen in there. He agrees the larger tract is a non-issue. It is a wooded area, and it would just stay wooded.

Mr. C. Jackson moved, seconded by Ms. Myers, to authorize staff to pursue Phase I of this project and do all of the due diligence before accepting it. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Inquiry from party about the willingness of County to sell the Dillards and/or Sears Property

*The Committee went into Executive Session at approximately 11:42 PM
and came out at approximately 11:46 PM.*

Ms. Myers stated the committee was going back into Executive Session to discuss Items 9 and 10.

*The Committee went into Executive Session at approximately 11:47 PM
and came out at approximately 11:58 PM.*

Mr. C. Jackson moved, seconded by Ms. McBride, to hold this in committee until further investigation is done and allowing staff to go back and discuss in more detail. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Proposed relocation of Richland County's Human Resources Division to the 3rd Floor of 2000 Hampton Street – Dr. Yudice stated at the next Administration & Finance Committee meeting we are proposing to have an on-site wellness clinic in the Health Department.

Ms. Myers inquired as to how much space that is going to require.

Mr. Niermeier stated it is approximately 3,000 sq. ft. Mr. Davis is doing an “as is” and those calculations right now.

Dr. Yudice stated, as a part of that effort, Mr. Hanna and Brittney Hoyle have been discussing moving the Human Resources from 2020 to 2000 to better manage the on-site health.

Ms. Myers stated, for clarification, they would be co-located. She inquired how much total space would be required for that.

Mr. Niermeier stated they presently have approximately 8,000 sq. ft. and they would have approximately 8,800 sq. ft. available in the other building, and it is better laid out.

Ms. McBride stated it is interesting to move Human Resources from this building with Administration.

Dr. Yudice stated they discussed about moving the Ombudsman's Office and extend HR here, but Mr. Hanna prefers to move HR to the Health Department.

Ms. McBride inquired as to what the building was initially planned for.

Dr. Yudice stated we had another issue with Mental Health. In that same area, we have a temporary lease agreement with Mental Health that has been in place in 3 years. She stated we want to break the lease agreement because we need space.

Ms. Myers stated they are not paying for space. They are getting it for free.

Dr. Yudice stated we need to give Mental Health a 90-day notice, per the contract.

Ms. Myers inquired, for clarification, that we do not have any suggested alternative for them.

Mr. Niermeier stated, per the contract, we must give Mental Health 90 days' notice, but we are not going to be throwing anyone on their butts on the 91st day. They have no idea, right now that we are thinking about this, and we wanted to make sure we discussed this with the committee before we gave them the heads up and they could start thinking about it.

Chief Cowan stated they have been discussing with staff about the fact that they have to provide security for them. They have their own police, but they will not bring their people over to deal with issues that are related to law enforcement and have to deal with mental health. He stated they have mentioned to staff and Brittney that this is putting our deputies in a very precarious situation by criminalizing the mental health aspect. He stated if they are going to stay, they need to contractually responsible for providing security, so we do not have the staff on site to deal with that issue.

The Committee went into Executive Session at approximately 12:03 PM and came out at approximately 12:12 PM.

Ms. McBride moved, seconded by Mr. C. Jackson, to hold this in committee to look at the All Medical facility. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Potential Property Purchase of 13.46 +/- Acres for a Northwest Recycling Center, Parcel R03303-03-03, Dreher Shoals Road – Mr. C. Jackson moved, seconded by Ms. Myers, to support staff's recommendation not to move forward with this, at this time, based upon the recommendation that received from the Planning Department. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Inquiry from an interested party about the purchase of property at Cushman Drive and Two Notch Rd. – Ms. McBride moved, seconded by Ms. Myers, to direct staff to do additional follow-up on the purchase of this property and Cushman Drive and Two Notch. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Richland Renaissance

- a. Dentsville Magistrate
- b. Emergency Operations Center and EMS Logistics Facility (ESD)
- c. Judicial Center
- d. Richland County Administration Facility
- e. State Services Facility (Treasurer, Elections and Voter Registration, DSS, PPP, Public Health, and other tenant organizations)

Ms. Myers stated at the last meeting we requested staff to give us some detailed information on Renaissance. Staff provided a memo that answered the questions, and provided more information.

Mr. Niermeier stated staff answered the questions from the July 24th committee meeting. The real intent is in the 2nd part of the memo, which is to get guidance from the committee on what you would like to do with all of these buildings and the necessary projects. Everything was under the original umbrella of the Renaissance concept and plan that moving forward. We purchased 100,000 sq. ft. worth of buildings that are sitting there. County staff has needs that we just discussed. Human Resources is bursting at the seams for appropriate spots to do the job. There is a lot of options. We could sell a property. We could potentially renovate this property, but it all comes from the initiating concept that Mr. C. Jackson brought up at the last meeting, "How did this all start?" Well there is a vision, but there was a new Judicial Center that was necessary. The dominos kind of went on from there. He does not think a decision is going to be made today, but the decision needs to ensue if the priority is still the Judicial Center, and what was the Renaissance, where and when we want to do that. The original plan was to demo this building and build it here and across the street where All Medical is. Are there other options out there? Sure. In the old Capital Improvement Plan, the 10-year plan has funding shown to redo the existing Judicial Center at a cost of approximately \$20 – 30 million. He thinks the estimate is rather low considering the condition of the building. Or, do we find another piece of property somewhere within the area to build a new Judicial Center.

Dr. Yudice stated to get there we need to make a decision on 2020 Hampton and the Judicial Center.

Mr. Niermeier stated this piece is off the table until that happens.

Dr. Yudice stated we are having issues with the State agencies. DJJ was removed from the courthouse and we have struggled. We gave them 2 or 3 alternatives, and their operations finally settled on the Health Department. The remaining portions remained at the Judicial Center until December of this year. By then, we need to figure out where they are going to go.

Ms. Myers stated we are at some hard deadlines. The priority is the December decision.

Dr. Yudice stated we have 3 anchor stores that could fix all of the space issues that we have.

Mr. C. Jackson stated he thinks “a rose by any other name is still a rose” so whatever you want to call the conversation we are having is not near as important as resolving the space needs and issues that we have heard about today, and was mentioned by Dr. Yudice. He does not want us to get too caught up on what is going to happen to a phase, and not address the issue. Having said that, he is much more comfortable talking about what we need to do to resolve the critical issues. We have some anchor stores, that we have already purchased, that can address many of the issues that we have before us, in terms of space. We need to, in his opinion, begin to investigate and pursue how those already purchased spaces can address all of the needs that we have. One of those spaces, and maybe all 3 of them, could probably address the need that is coming up in December. He stated he is deliberately not calling it by any title or label, but simply to say let’s take a look at the spaces and property we own. Let’s take a look at the needs we have, and we can then go to Council and say how we can address these space needs, based upon the existing property that we own.

Ms. McBride stated she understood what Mr. C. Jackson was saying, but there was a lot of vagueness in it, which was intentional, and should have been. She stated she was thinking that a lot of planning has already taken place, regarding Columbia Mall. Staff put a lot of time in that plan. Some of the needs that have been raised today, are addressed in that plan. Her suggestion would be to look at the plan, the needs, and the anchor stores to see how we can fit those areas of concern into that plan that we have already developed, so we would not destroy the whole concept of the Renaissance Plan.

Ms. Myers stated she believes there is a lot of harmony between what Mr. C. Jackson and Ms. McBride are saying. She thinks what Mr. C. Jackson was saying is that his concern is that we have all of these needs, and we have all of this space. Ms. McBride’s comment was we have all of these needs, and all of this space, some of which has previously been rationalized. Some of which has not been, but all of which could have been rationalized if staff was freed to rationalize it. She thinks what she is hearing both of them saying is, perhaps we need to give them some instructions to look at this space that we have, since we did buy it, and see what needs could be addressed by it.

Ms. McBride stated we are basically saying the same thing. She is just saying, let’s try and jell what we have already done with the plans with the needs we have identified. Therefore, if we decide to do something with the Judicial Center, we would not have destroyed the entire plan because those other areas are still viable.

Mr. C. Jackson stated he does not disagree with Ms. McBride. His concern is how things are created, and how they work, and remembering the position and vote Council took on the plan. Council’s vote was to suspend the plan. As a result of that, all of these needs kept coming up, so the Chair recognized that needed to be something other than trying to revisit and change the vote on the plan to address these needs, which is why she created this committee. The committee’s charge now is to figure out a way to solve these problems while the plan still stays on hold. His fear is that if we try to revive the plan it may create problems for us, in terms of resolving the issues. He stated the plan was officially deferred.

Ms. Myers stated we do not have the authority to undefer until someone makes that motion.

Ms. McBride stated we are using issues from that plan, as we discuss things. Everything we have discussed is a part of that plan. She suggested continuing to use the information from the plan as we try to address the issues that have been identified.

Ms. Myers stated she agrees with Mr. C. Jackson. She would be reluctant to go forward and say we are using any of the pieces of Renaissance because she thinks the minute we do or say that, it does not matter how good what staff brought back to us it is going to be shot down. If staff goes back and gives us a space needs assessment, and how we can meet those needs using County owned property, it may not stir up controversy.

Ms. McBride stated she disagrees. She is using it as the research that has been done. She suggested using that research, and do additional research to address the needs that have been identified. She does not make sense to her to reinvent the wheel.

Ms. Myers stated some people want us to reinvent the wheel.

Mr. C. Jackson stated Ms. McBride did not use the word plan in what she just said. He stated if we use the word plan it creates a problem, but if we use the research or information he is right there with her.

Mr. Niermeier stated the data that has been accumulated and analyzed addressed all the needs. He stated the Sheriff still needs a crime lab. We need a 911 Call Center and a new EOC. The public safety issues we really need to address. Taking that and bringing it into some of the work that has previously been done with the capital buildings that we have, and we may acquire, is a very comprehensive outlook, which is larger than what we had before.

Mr. C. Jackson moved, seconded by Ms. McBride, to direct staff to do whatever research necessary, and pull from any existing research, to address the space needs discussed today. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. C. Jackson moved, seconded by Ms. Myers, to amend the agenda to take up the RCSD Crime Lab as a separate agenda item. The vote in favor was unanimous.

RCSD Crime Lab – Mr. Niermeier stated this was identified as a critical need for the Sheriff. There is funding budgeted for new lab equipment.

Ms. Myers inquired as to what was budgeted for their equipment.

Dr. Yudice stated approximately \$400,000 in the next biennium.

Mr. Niermeier stated a lot of the issues we are dealing with is the small warehouse space and the Sheriff's Department not being noncompliant with the way some evidence is secured for evidentiary purposes. Not to mention, the datedness of it. They have put together an extensive list of requirements for a new, leading edge crime lab that would encompass a lot more than they are capable of now. The Sheriff in his letter in July is looking for about 40,000 – 50,000 sq. ft. to accommodate this lab, which will include vehicles, ballistics, DNA processing, and the evidence warehouse.

Ms. Myers stated the staff recommends Option 2 or 3. She inquired if we have looked at these options. If we use an existing property, is there a suggestion as to which one.

Mr. Niermeier stated, in lieu of the 911 Center potentially going to the Best Buy property, the crime lab would definitely fit in this area.

Ms. Myers stated, for clarification, that it is an issue to have both the 911 Center and the crime lab at the same site.

Mr. Niermeier stated it is more the EOC and the 911 Center.

Chief Cowan stated the 911 Center and the crime lab could go on the same site.

Ms. Myers stated we still have the upfit question.

Mr. Niermeier stated the lab would have to be built.

Ms. Myers stated if we looked at the Best Buy for the 911 Center, we could also put the lab there. She stated that may address some of Mr. C. Jackson's questions from earlier.

Mr. Niermeier stated he does not want to speak for the Sheriff, but that was a thought staff had kicked around.

Ms. Myers stated, if we were to use Best Buy as dual use facility, what would be the impact for upfit and would we have money that is already allocated that might get us to where we are trying to get, which would get the Sheriff his desired building and finding the money that we need.

Dr. Yudice stated this was a decision that was made by the former Administrator for FY 20-21 when we were looking at the Shakespeare Road; \$400,000 (FY20) and \$250,000 (FY21).

Ms. Myers stated the question is, do we have money for capital expenses for the crime lab that could cover the costs of the 911 Center?

Dr. Yudice responded we do not. We only have the \$2 million to purchase the property.

Ms. Myers stated, for clarification, we still have to find crime lab money.

Ms. McBride stated, for clarification, we do not have a crime lab.

Ms. Myers stated we do have a crime lab, but we have outgrown it. We have money for equipment, but no place to use the equipment.

Mr. Madden stated we do have the remaining capital funds from the Renaissance project.

ADJOURNMENT – The meeting adjourned at approximately 12:34 p.m.