

# RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL

## SOUTH CAROLINA

### RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL

#### SPECIAL CALLED MEETING FY16-17 BUDGET 3<sup>rd</sup> READING

JUNE 9, 2016  
6:00 PM  
COUNCIL CHAMBERS

#### CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Rush called the meeting to order at approximately 6:05PM

Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to add a Personnel Matter to the agenda. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to adopt the agenda as amended. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to direct the Human Resources Director to negotiate the hiring of Candidate #1 for the position of Interim Administrator. The vote in favor was unanimous.

#### PUBLIC HEARING

- a. **An Ordinance Authorizing the Issuance and Sale of not to exceed \$20,650,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2016A, or such other appropriate series designation, of Richland County, South Carolina; fixing the form and details of the bonds; delegating to the County Administrator certain authority related to the bonds; providing for the payment of the bonds and the disposition of the proceeds thereof; and other matters relating thereto – No one signed up to speak.**
- b. **An Ordinance Authorizing the Issuance and Sale of not to exceed \$15,500,000 Broad River Sewer System General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016B, or such other appropriate series designation, or Richland County, South Carolina; fixing the form and details of the bonds; delegating to the County Administrator certain authority related to the bonds; providing for the payment of the bonds and the disposition of the proceeds thereof; and other matters relating thereto – No one signed up to speak.**

#### CONSENT ITEMS

- **Item #8 – Millage Agency: Riverbanks Zoo (FY17 Request: \$2,143,731)**
- **Item #9 – Millage Agency: Mental Health (FY17 Cap: \$2,042,998)**
- **Item #10 – Enterprise Fund: Solid Waste (Approve funding level of \$34,767,684)**



#### Council Members Present:

Torrey Rush, Chair  
Greg Pearce, Vice Chair  
Joyce Dickerson  
Julie-Ann Dixon  
Norman Jackson  
Damon Jeter  
Paul Livingston  
Bill Malinowski  
Jim Manning  
Seth Rose

#### Others Present:

Tony McDonald  
Daniel Driggers  
Michelle Onley  
Warren Harley  
Roxanne Ancheta  
Kevin Bronson  
Kim Roberts  
Shahid Khan  
James Hayes  
Brenda Parnell  
Karen Magsino  
Natashia Dozier  
Pam Davis  
Larry Smith  
Donald Woodward  
Ismail Ozbek  
Tracy Hegler  
Dwight Hanna  
Beverly Harris  
Valeria Jackson  
Dominic Olmstead

- Item #11 - Enterprise Fund: Broad River Sewer System (Approve funding level of \$6,900,000)
- Item #12 - Enterprise Fund: Lower Richland Sewer System (Approve funding level of \$462,126)
- Item #13 - Enterprise Fund: Lower Richland Water System (Approve \$531,587)
- Item #14 - Enterprise Fund: Parking Garage (Approve funding level of \$110,000)
- Item #15 - Enterprise Fund: Airport Operations (Approve funding level of \$610,000)
- Item #16 - Special Revenue: Victim's Assistance (Approve the Administrator's FY17 funding request; however, General Fund supplements to this program will be permanently capped at the FY17 amount in future years. In future years, any shortfalls within the program must be absorbed by the departments managing the program)
- Item #17 - Special Revenue: Victim's Assistance (Approve funding level of \$1,161,021)
- Item #18a - Special Revenue: Grants - CDBG-DR (Administration request approval to accept the Federal Award of the Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery [CDBG-DR] of \$23.5 million from HUD and approval to fund essential tasks related to the implementation and management of the grant. To include approval for development of the CDBG-DR Action plan and approval of essential positions related to startup and management of grant: Asst. Program Manager of CDBG-DR Program, Case managers 2 county positions, 1 Accounting Manager. All positions are funded with CDBG-DR grant money and will end when responsibilities and funding related to the grant have been closed out. This grant does not contain a match requirement.)
- Item #19 - Special Revenue: Tourism Development (Approve funding level of \$1,200,000)
- Item #20 - Special Revenue: Temporary Alcohol Permit (Approve funding level of \$130,000)
- Item #21 - Special Revenue: Emergency Telephone System (Approve funding level of \$8,812,660)
- Item #22 - Special Revenue: Fire Service (Approve funding level of \$26,016,000)
- Item #23 - Special Revenue: Stormwater Management (Approve funding level of \$3,150,000)
- Item #26 - Special Revenue: Conservation Commission (Approve Agency funding \$250,000)
- Item #27 - Special Revenue: Conservation Commission (Approve funding level of \$1,508,763)
- Item #36 - Special Revenue: Neighborhood Redevelopment (Approve Agency funding \$40,035.43)
- Item #37 - Special Revenue: Neighborhood Redevelopment (Approve funding level of \$790,000. Includes \$60,000 use of fund balance)
- Item #56 - Special Revenue: Hospitality Tax (Approve the remaining funds \$1,683,350 + \$275,000 [Remove SERCO from tier three] - \$150,000 [fund Pinewood Lake operating cost] = \$1,808,350 [remaining funds]/11 [#of Council District] = \$164,395.45 [to be allocated by each Council member])
- Item #65 - Special Revenue: Hospitality Tax (Approve Agency funding \$347,516)
- Item #67 - Special Revenue: Accommodations Tax (Approve Agency funding \$630,000)
- Item #68 - Special Revenue: Accommodations Tax (Approve funding level of \$630,000)
- Item #69 - Special Revenue: Title IV-D-Civil Process (Approve funding level of \$70,000)
- Item #70 - Special Revenue: Road Maintenance (Approve funding level of \$5,900,000)
- Item #71 - Special Revenue: Public Defender (Approve funding level of \$3,067,650)
- Item #72 - Special Revenue: Transportation Sales Tax (Approve funding level of \$59,650,000)
- Item #73 - Special Revenue: School Resource Officer Program (Approve funding level of \$5,120,056. County funding \$1,651,153; School District funding \$3,468,903)
- Item #74 - Special Revenue: Economic Development (Approved funding level of \$830,000)
- Item #75 - General Fund: Detention Center (Restore the \$218,000 transferred from the Alvin S. Glenn Detention Center budget in FY16 to fund the 24 hour Bond Court since the basis for this action has not resulted in the cost savings anticipated. It is clear from reviewing Council's action on the FY16 budget that funding of the 24 hour Bond Court was contingent upon the savings being realized)

- **Item #76 - General Fund: C&S (Fund Transitions Homeless Center at \$100,000)**
- **Item #77 - General Fund: C&S (Fund the Midlands Area Housing Trust Fund at \$100,000)**
- **Item #79 - General Fund: C&S (Move that Richland County align with the City of Columbia and match their appropriation of \$40,000 to support the Wright Wellness Center treatment clinic located in Richland County. This appropriation will serve as official documentation that Richland County Council stands in support of the South Carolina HIV/AIDS Council and strategic plan to reduce unnecessary spread of infectious diseases throughout the county and impact the quality of life of our citizens)**
- **Item #80 - General Fund: C&S (Approve \$15,000 for St. John Foundation Senior Citizen Nutritional Program in the Lower Richland Community)**
- **Item #85 - General Fund: Discretionary Grant (Approve \$20,000 for Midlands Mediation Center. Funds will be used for general operating needs that are needed to sustain smooth operations related to service delivery. Services include helping organizations resolve conflict, improve relationships and strengthen communities. [Organization also applied for two years of funding and has been recommended funds for a Discretionary Grant for FY17]. The Discretionary Grant Committee has recommended \$9,182.40. The Discretionary Grant Application project title is Conflict = Opportunity. The total project cost is \$16,000 per year and the organization is requesting \$10,000 per year. The objective of the project is to provide individuals in both formal and informal groups throughout Richland County with conflict-resolution training and the opportunity to join a larger community of individuals of all ages and backgrounds who believe people are capable of resolving their own disputes and are practitioners of using a process to bring people together to find lasting and effective solutions. Funds are being requested for the project manager's salary, venue rental for training spaces and program supplies.)**
- **Item #87 - General Fund: Discretionary Grant (Approve Agency Funding \$200,000)**
- **Item #88 - General Fund: Outside Agencies (Approve Agency Funding \$1,636,582)**
- **Item #92 - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Approve the issuance of \$9.2m debt to fund the recurring long-range plan. (Sheriff Vehicle/equipment - \$3.2m, Public Safety facility - \$1.6m, EMS vehicles - \$1.9m, Coroner non-recurring vehicles - \$.1m, CIP program - \$2.4m)**
- **Item #92a - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Approve up to \$1,000,000 for facility to accommodate staffing from Judicial Center to reduce crowding)**
- **Item 93 - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Approve issuance of additional items below recommended by County Administrator)**
- **Item 93a - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Administration & Health - Chiller \$2,550,000)**
- **Item 93b - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Administration & Health - Energy Recovery Ventilation \$400,00)**
- **Item 93c - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Judicial Center Elevator upgrade \$960,000)**
- **Item 93d - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Security Improvements at Judicial Center \$355,000)**
- **Item 93e - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Eastover Magistrate Roof \$175,000)**
- **Item 93f - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Historic Columbia - phase I \$405,685)**
- **Item 93g - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Historic Columbia - phase II \$575,069)**
- **Item 93i - Debt: Bond Ordinance (DSS Facility Renovation \$3,000,000)**
- **Item 93j - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Township property purchase \$1,500,000)**
- **Item 93k - Debt: Bond Ordinance (Non-public safety vehicles non-recurring \$455,418)**

**MILLAGE AGENCIES**

**Item #1: School District One (FY16 Approved: \$199,439,620; FY Request: \$204,912,872; FY17 No mill inc: \$204,303,375; FY17 Cap: \$204,930,375)** – Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to approve \$204,912,872 for this item.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	Malinowski
Dixon	
Jackson	
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor.

**Item #2: School District Two (FY16 Approved: \$137,213,726; FY17 Request: \$142,202,732; FY17 No mill inc: \$138,228,334; FY17 Cap: \$140,548,334)** – Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to approve the FY17 Request (\$142,202,732) by utilizing the available look-back.

Mr. Pearce inquired if the school districts have look-back millage and how much look-back School District Two has?

Mr. Hayes gave a brief overview of how the mill values are projected. In addition, he stated that each millage agency has its own look-back.

Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to defer this item until the Auditor’s Office can provide the amount of look-back.

Mr. Hayes stated in FY13 – 3.0 mills; FY14 – 4.8 mills, and FY15 – 4.0 mills were left in the school district’s budget, which would equate to about \$3.4 million.

Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to approve the FY17 Request (\$142,202,732) by utilizing the available look-back.

Mr. Livingston inquired if that equates to about 3 mills.

Mr. Hayes stated in order to fund the additional \$2 million it would equate to approximately 6.8-7 mills.

Ms. Dixon inquired about what the increase in property tax would be.

Mr. Hayes stated with the cap and look-back it would equate to approximately \$90 on a \$100,000 home.

**Budget – 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading**  
**Thursday, June 9, 2016**  
**Page Five**

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	Malinowski
Dixon	Rush
Jackson	Jeter
Pearce	
Dickerson	
Manning	

The vote was in favor.

**Item #3: Recreation Commission (Once the final millage for the Recreation Commission Department is determined by a vote of Council, all funds above the mandatory five [5] mills shall remain frozen until such time as the Recreation Commission provides written documentation to Richland County Council that an independent review (e.g., an audit) confirms that funds provided by Richland County Council are being utilized solely for the benefit of promoting recreational opportunities for the citizens of Richland County. Note: This Motion is consistent with the recent SC Attorney General's opinion as to how the Richland County Recreation is to be funded).** – Mr. McDonald stated this item is before Council to identify a funding source for the audit. The recommendation is to take the funding from the Recreation Commission's budget.

Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to fund the audit out of the Recreation Commission's budget.

Mr. Jackson inquired as to the cost of the audit and expressed concern with taking the funds from the Recreation Commission's budget to finance the audit.

Mr. Jeter made a substitute motion, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to fund the audit out of the 7 mills the County is withholding instead of the 5 mills the Recreation Commission will be receiving.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	
Malinowski	
Dixon	
Jackson	
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote in favor was unanimous.

**Item #4: Recreation Commission (FY16 Approved: \$13,351,700; FY17 Request: \$13,759,525; FY17 No mill inc: \$13,560,125; FY17 Cap: \$13,759,525)** – Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Mr. Malinowski, to approve \$13,470,125 for this item.

**FOR**                    **AGAINST**

Rose  
Malinowski  
Dixon  
Jackson  
Pearce  
Rush  
Livingston  
Dickerson  
Jeter

The vote in favor was unanimous.

**Item #5: Midlands Technical College (MTC) Operating (FY16 Approved: \$5,346,500; FY17 Request: \$5,537,358; FY17 No mill inc: \$5,507,504; FY17 Cap: \$5,507,504)** - Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to approve \$5,541,628 for this item.

Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to approve the cap for Items #5, 6, and 7.

**FOR**                    **AGAINST**

Rose  
Malinowski  
Dixon  
Jackson  
Pearce  
Rush  
Livingston  
Dickerson  
Manning  
Jeter

The vote in favor was unanimous.

**Item #6: MTC - Capital & Debt (FY16 Approved: \$2,245,000; FY17 Request: No \$ provided; FY17 No mill inc: \$2,459,600; FY17 Cap: \$2,459,600)** - Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to approve \$2,399,500. The vote in favor was unanimous.

**Item #7: Library (FY16 Approved: \$24,629,200; FY17 Request: \$25,600,000; FY17 No mill inc: \$25,152,372; FY17 Cap: \$25,446,972)** - Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to approve \$25,386,972. The vote in favor was unanimous.

**SPECIAL REVENUE**

**Item #42 - Hospitality Tax: (Request to release the remainder of funds set aside for capital Phase II for Pinewood Lake Park)** - Mr. Jackson moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to release the funds to complete Phase II of Pinewood Lake Park.

**Budget – 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading**  
**Thursday, June 9, 2016**  
**Page Seven**

An in-depth discussion took place regarding the procurement of this project and if there is a contract for design-build for Phase II.

Mr. Livingston made a substitute motion, seconded by Mr. Malinowski, to move the funds out of fund balance, but not to move forward with project until staff can clarify if there is a design-build contract for Phase II.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	Dixon
Malinowski	Jackson
Rush	Pearce
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor of the substitute motion.

Mr. Manning requested to change is vote to reflect that he supported Mr. Jackson.

**Item #55a: Hospitality Tax (Amend the H-Tax budget guidelines to include: [a] Establish a h-tax discretionary account for each Council District; [b] Fund the account at the amount of \$164,395.45; [c] Council members will recommend Agencies to be funded by their allocation. All Agency and project must meet all of the requirements in order to be eligible to receive H-Tax funds; [d] All Council recommendation for appropriations of allocations to Agencies after the beginning of the fiscal year will still be required to be taken back to Council for approval by the full Council prior to the commitment of funding. This would only require one vote.)** – Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to approve this item.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	
Malinowski	
Dixon	
Jackson	
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote in favor was unanimous.

**Item #56.1: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 1)**  
**Item #56.2: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 2)**  
**Item #56.3: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 3)**  
**Item #56.4: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 4)**

- Item #56.5: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 5)**
- Item #56.6: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 6)**
- Item #56.7: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 7)**
- Item #56.8: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 8)**
- Item #56.9: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 9)**
- Item #56.10: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 10)**
- Item #56.11: Hospitality Tax (Allocation of 56 for District 11)**

Mr. Pearce moved to approve Items 56.1-56.11.

Mr. McDonald stated because of the previous motion on Item 55a, you would not necessarily need to approve Items 56.1-56.11, since you will be identifying which agencies you want to provide funding for in the new fiscal year.

Mr. Driggers further clarified, there are two (2) options: (1) if Items 56.1-56.11 is approved the list of allocations included in the agenda packet will be approved; (2) if Items 56.1-56.11 are not approved it means you will have to bring back your individual list to Council for one reading after July 1<sup>st</sup>.

Ms. Dixon, moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve Items 56.1-56.11.

Mr. Rush requested that Mr. Driggers explain this item again.

Mr. Driggers stated that Item 55a says that we're going to set aside a pot of money and each Council member can bring back their list or an agency at any time throughout the year with one vote of Council to allocate it.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	
Malinowski	
Dixon	
Jackson	
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote in favor was unanimous.

**Item #64a: Hospitality Tax (Approve to allocate the \$25,000 from tier two-undesigned promotion funds to tier three-Metro CVB – Mr. Livingston withdrew his motion.**

**Item #64b: Hospitality Tax (Fund Famously Hot New Year's Celebration at the FY16 level of \$85,000. (\$4,000 is currently in FY17 H-Tax recommendation; \$7,000 is in the current FY A-Tax recommendation. Therefore, an additional \$74,000 is being requested.) – Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to approve an additional \$74,000 from undesignated H-Tax funds to fund the Famously Hot New Year's Celebration.**

**Budget – 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading**  
**Thursday, June 9, 2016**  
**Page Nine**

Mr. Malinowski stated he thought this is what Council was trying to eliminate by dividing the funds up from the Hospitality Tax.

Mr. Jackson stated this was a joint venture the County decided to do with the City of Columbia, which has nothing to do with individuals but a partnership with two governments.

Ms. Dickerson stated she is going to support, but she is going to request the County has better participation in it because last year they totally ignored every Council member.

Mr. Manning made a substitute motion, to unallocate the funding designated for the Famously Hot New Year's Celebration from District 8 and return the funding to District 8's unspent H-Tax funds.

Mr. Rush inquired if it is possible to go back and remove or move things around on the list of Hospitality Tax allocations.

Mr. McDonald stated that would have been one of the benefits of not approving Items 56.1-56.11 because it would have given the Council members more discretion. In order to unallocated the funds, it would take another vote of Council.

Mr. Manning made a substitute motion, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to unallocated the funding designated for the Famously Hot New Year's Celebration from District 2 and District 8 and return the funding to Districts 2 and District 8's unspent H-Tax funds.

Mr. Rush inquired if a motion to reconsider Items 56.1-56.11 needs to be made.

Mr. Smith stated a motion to reconsider would have to be made in order to unallocate the funds.

Mr. Malinowski made a substitute motion, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to subtract the amount allocated by individual Council members and allocate \$67,500 for this item.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Dixon	Rose
Jackson	Malinowski
Livingston	Pearce
Dickerson	Rush
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor.

**Item #66: Hospitality Tax (Approve funding level of \$4,716,650)** – Ms. Dixon moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve this item as amended.

**Budget – 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading**  
**Thursday, June 9, 2016**  
**Page Ten**

<u>FOR</u>	<u>AGAINST</u>
Rose	Pearce
Malinowski	
Dixon	
Jackson	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor.

**GENERAL FUND**

**Item #75(a): Magistrate (Fund Bond Court cost of \$218,000 using look-back millage)** – Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to fund Bond Court using General Fund dollars.

<u>FOR</u>	<u>AGAINST</u>
Rose	
Dixon	
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote in favor was unanimous.

**Items #88b: Countywide (Update Salary budget for FY17 based on most recent reports)** – Ms. Dixon moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve this item.

<u>FOR</u>	<u>AGAINST</u>
Rose	
Malinowski	
Dixon	
Jackson	
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote in favor was unanimous.

**Budget – 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading**  
**Thursday, June 9, 2016**  
**Page Eleven**

**Item #88c: (Approve option for Health Insurance Plan)** – Mr. McDonald stated the information about the proposed health insurance changes, which included a dual option, were presented to Council at the June 7<sup>th</sup> Council meeting. There is funding incorporated into the budget to cover the proposed health insurance changes.

Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to approve the proposed health insurance changes.

Mr. Manning made a substitute motion, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to do some recalculation regarding the amount employees pay for those employees that make over \$80,000.

Mr. McDonald inquired of Mr. Manning if his proposal would still include the dual options with the buy up program.

Mr. Manning stated that is good. He would like for the employees to look and say Council did something to recognize that people making over \$80,000 and those making \$20,000 should not pay the same amount.

Mr. Jackson stated everyone should pay the same amount across the board.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Manning	Rose
	Malinowski
	Dixon
	Jackson
	Pearce
	Rush
	Livingston
	Dickerson
	Jeter

The substitute motion failed.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	Manning
Malinowski	
Dixon	
Jackson	
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor.

**Item #88d: (Redirect \$278,161 from unspent project funds to cover the cost of start-up equipment for the Decker Center)** – Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to approve this item.

**Budget – 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading**  
**Thursday, June 9, 2016**  
**Page Twelve**

Mr. Malinowski inquired if the Sheriff's Department was an entirely new precinct at the Decker Center.

Mr. Manning stated they are moving from the Columbia Mall and several divisions of the Sheriff's Department.

Mr. Malinowski inquired if the equipment for the new deputies included their radios, and if so, why the radios are listed under capital.

Mr. Bronson stated the equipment does not include anything capital related. Equipment would be the uniforms, whereas radios are classified as capital.

Mr. Malinowski also questioned why there is a need for coffeemakers and microwaves at the Decker Center.

Mr. Malinowski made a substitute motion to not approve the coffeemakers and microwaves. The motion died for lack of a second.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	Malinowski
Dixon	
Jackson	
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor.

**Item #88e (Approve new positions for the Sheriff's Department, Facilities and Grounds and the Court Administration associated with the Decker Center opening)** – Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to approve the new positions for the Sheriff's Department and Facilities and Grounds and 3 of the 6 requested positions for Court Administration.

Mr. Malinowski inquired about the need for the office supplies.

Mr. Jackson made a substitute motion, seconded by Mr. Rose, to approve the positions requested to fully staff the building.

Mr. Jackson stated the center is a larger building and the consultant recommended the needed positions for safety reasons.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	Malinowski
Dixon	Pearce
Jackson	Livingston
Rush	Dickerson
Jeter	Manning

**Budget – 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading**  
**Thursday, June 9, 2016**  
**Page Thirteen**

The substitute motion failed.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	Malinowski
Pearce	Jackson
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor.

**Item #88f (Updated projections of Local Government Fund add \$733,833 of one-time funding. Recommend that additional funds be appropriated and reduce the planned use of Fund Balance for FY17)** – Ms. Dixon moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to approve this item.

Mr. Jeter made a substitute motion, seconded by Mr. Jackson, to appropriate \$25,000 of Fund Balance for the Antioch Senior Center.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Dixon	Rose
Jackson	Malinowski
Rush	Pearce
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor of the substitute motion.

Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Mr. Jackson, to fund a Senior Application Court Analyst (\$69,352) and Body Camera Video Specialist (\$69,352) for the Solicitor’s Office and tier marks for personnel funds needed to implement the tiers passed with the reclassification plan in 2012.

Mr. Pearce stated he respects what Mr. Manning is attempting to do, but this is non-recurring funding. This motion will fund positions that will not be funded next year.

Mr. Jackson stated the positions are needed. If it is funded now, Council can find the funding for the positions next year.

Mr. Manning amended his motion to fund the positions as a one-year grant and remove the tier marks.

Mr. Malinowski stated the body cameras are not approved in this year’s budget; therefore the body camera video specialist is not needed.

**Budget – 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading**  
**Thursday, June 9, 2016**  
**Page Fourteen**

Mr. Bronson stated the Solicitor’s Office is currently reviewing body camera footage because Richland County is not the only law enforcement agency the Solicitor’s Office is responsible for.

Mr. Livingston inquired about how many departments requested additional personnel.

Mr. McDonald stated there were 15 or 20 departments that requested new positions.

Mr. Livingston stated his concern is vetting who needs the positions the most. He would rather the Administrator take the money and come back with a recommendation.

Mr. Pearce stated there were other departments (i.e. Probate Court, Public Defender) that expressed a need for new positions. In addition, this funding is to offset the amount of funds taken from Fund Balance.

Mr. Jackson stated it does not matter if it is State Trooper’s or any other law enforcement agency, the office has a responsibility to process the videos.

Mr. Rose stated there is a disconnect between the Legislature and local government. The Legislature passes laws, but there is not funding to carry out them out on the local level.

<u>FOR</u>	<u>AGAINST</u>
Rose	Malinowski
Dixon	Pearce
Jackson	Rush
Dickerson	Livingston
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor of approving a one-year grant for the Solicitor’s Office for a Senior Application Court Analyst (\$69,352) and Body Camera Video Specialist (\$69,352).

**Item #89: Total Budget (Approve funding level of \$163,116,123)** – Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to approve as amended.

<u>FOR</u>	<u>AGAINST</u>
Dixon	Rose
Jackson	Malinowski
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor.

**COUNTYWIDE**

**Item #90: Budget Ordinance (Approve Budget Ordinance)** – Ms. Dixon moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve the budget ordinance.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Dixon	Rose
Jackson	Malinowski
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote was in favor.

**DEBT**

**Item #93l: Bond Ordinance (Approve Bond Ordinance for County Project Funding)** – Ms. Dixon moved, seconded Mr. Livingston, to approve this item.

Mr. Malinowski inquired about Item 93d (Security Improvements at Judicial Center \$355,000).

Mr. McDonald stated there has been an ongoing problem with transients around the Judicial Center. The security request came from the Clerk of Court and was endorsed by the Sheriff's Department and Solicitor's Office, which includes external and internal security improvements.

Mr. Malinowski requested additional information regarding the security improvements.

Mr. Pearce inquired about the fencing around the Judicial Center.

Major Cowan stated there was a complete security assessment done of the Judicial Center. There are specific security needs that are mandated, required and encouraged by the U. S. Marshals. There are several components to that security. Some of them are cameras. Some of them are fencing in certain areas to restrict access.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	
Malinowski	
Dixon	
Jackson	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote in favor was unanimous.

**COUNTYWIDE**

**Item #94: Bond Ordinance (Approve Bond Ordinance for Broad River Refunding)** – Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to approve this item.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Rose	Malinowski
Dixon	
Jackson	
Pearce	
Rush	
Livingston	
Dickerson	
Manning	
Jeter	

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. Jackson requested clarification on #54 (Add to Tier Three as a Special County Promotions – Pinewood Lake Foundation for \$150,000 for operating costs.)

Mr. McDonald stated Mr. Manning with his motion, but it was included in the recommended Hospitality Tax budget.

**Item #95: (Clinch minutes for Budget Ordinance and Bond Ordinance)** – Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to reconsider the budget and bond ordinances.

<b><u>FOR</u></b>	<b><u>AGAINST</u></b>
Malinowski	Dixon
	Jackson
	Pearce
	Rush
	Livingston
	Dickerson
	Manning
	Jeter

The motion for reconsideration failed.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at approximately 7:39 PM

The Minutes were transcribed by Michelle M. Onley, Deputy Clerk of Council