ORDINANCE REVIEW AD HOC COMMITTEE

April 19, 2016 3:00 PM Administration Conference Room

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Approval of Minutes: April 5, 2016 [PAGES 3-5]
- 3. Adoption of Agenda
- 4. Amending Chapter 17 to prohibit the parking of motor vehicles in front yard within certain residential zoning districts [PAGES 6-12]
- 5. Motion that amends Richland County Code of Ordinances to provide that no person shall leave or confine an animal in any unattended motor vehicle under conditions that endanger the health or well-being of an animal due to heat, cold, lack of adequate ventilation, or lack of food or water, or other circumstances that could reasonably be expected to cause suffering, disability, or death to the animal. Allow that unless the animal suffers great bodily injury, a first conviction for violation of this section is punishable by a fine not exceeding one hundred dollars (\$100) per animal. If the animal suffers great bodily injury, a violation of this section is punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500), imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by both a fine and imprisonment. Any subsequent violation of this section, regardless of injury to the animal, is also punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500), imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by both a fine and imprisonment.

To allow a law enforcement officer or an animal control officer to remove an animal from a motor vehicle if the animal's safety appears to be in immediate danger from heat, cold, lack of adequate ventilation, lack of food or water, or other circumstances that could reasonably be expected to cause suffering, disability, or death to the animal.



Committee Members

Julie-Ann Dixon, Chair District Nine

Bill Malinowski District One

Seth Rose District Five

A law enforcement officer or animal control officer who removes an animal from a motor vehicle shall take it to an animal shelter or other place of safekeeping or, if the officer deems necessary, to a veterinary hospital for treatment.

A law enforcement officer or animal control officer is authorized to take all steps that are reasonably necessary for the removal of an animal from a motor vehicle, including, but not limited to, breaking into the motor vehicle, after a reasonable effort to locate the owner or other person responsible.

A law enforcement officer or animal control officer who removes an animal from a motor vehicle shall, in a secure and conspicuous location on or within the motor vehicle, leave written notice bearing his or her name and office, and the address of the location where the animal can be claimed. The animal may be claimed by the owner only after payment of all charges that have accrued for the maintenance, care, medical treatment, or impoundment of the animal.

This section does not affect in any way existing liabilities or immunities in current law, or create any new immunities or liabilities. [MANNING] [PAGES 13-21]

6. ITEM FOR DISCUSSION:

An Ordinance Amending the Richland County Code of Ordinances; Chapter 26, Land Development; Article VII, General Development, Site, and Performance Standards; Section 26-173, Off-Street Parking Standards; Subsection (F), Parking of Recreational Vehicles, Boats, and Travel Trailers; so as to add utility trailers and to allow all such vehicles and trailers to be parked on any lot within the County [REFERRED FROM MARCH 22, 2016 ZONING PUBLIC HEARING] [PAGES 22-23]

7. Adjournment



ORDINANCE REVIEW AD HOC COMMITTEE

April 5, 2016 3:00 PM Administration Conference Room

In accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, a copy of the agenda was sent to radio and TV stations, newspapers, persons requesting notification, and was posted on the bulletin board located in the lobby of the County

Administration Building

CALL TO ORDER

Ms. Dixon called the meeting to order at approximately 3:02 PM

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

<u>March 15, 2015</u> – Mr. Malinowski moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to approve the minutes as distributed. The vote in favor was unanimous.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

Mr. Malinowski moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to adopt the agenda as published. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Amending Chapter 17 to prohibit the parking of motor vehicles in front yard within certain residential zoning districts – Mr. Bronson stated staff has made a recommendation on which zoning districts the ordinance would apply to. There are ramifications depending on which zoning districts are selected.

If a "blanket" ordinance is approved it will be easier for the Sheriff's Department to enforce. If specific zoning designations are selected it would be more difficult for the Sheriff's Department to enforce and may require the hiring of additional staff.

Mr. Malinowski inquired who receives the complaints regarding parking violations (i.e. Sheriff's Department or County).

The complaints are received by both the Sheriff's Department and the County.

Mr. Malinowski inquired about how many complaints are received annually and the zoning districts the complaints come from. If the complaints are coming from a particular subdivision with a HOA, it may be more of a private matter that needs to be handled privately.

Ms. Dixon stated having the HOA handle the complaints could cause rifts in the community. Also there are renters that are not provided a copy of the by-laws or covenants. If law enforcement is enforcing the violations, the violators will likely take action quicker to prevent fines and/or jail time.



Committee Members Present

Julie-Ann Dixon, Chair Bill Malinowski

Others Present: Geo Price Amelia Linder Elizabeth McLean Sandra Haynes Kevin Bronson Michelle Onley Chris Cowan Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee Tuesday, April 5, 2016 Page Two

Ms. Dixon further stated having vehicles parked on the grass detracts from the appearance of the community and also poses a safety hazard for public safety.

Mr. Malinowski stated he does not feel that tax payer dollars should go toward enforcing a "private" matter.

Major Cowan stated no matter how the ordinance is structured (i.e. Countywide or zoning district) it will require additional manpower to enforce the ordinance.

Mr. Malinowski stated the Planning Department needs to revisit the building codes and setbacks for future development to provide adequate space for parking.

Ms. Dixon stated the majority of HOA Board members are seasoned residents and may not know how to maneuver through the judicial system; therefore, they rely on the County to assist them.

Mr. Malinowski requested the number of complaints for the last year prior to the next Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee meeting.

Mr. Malinowski moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to defer until the April 19th Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee meeting. The vote in favor was unanimous.

An Ordinance Amending the Richland County Code of Ordinances, Chapter 26, Land Development; Article VI, Supplemental Use Standards; Section 26-152, Special Exceptions; Subsection (d), Standards; Paragraph (22), Radio, Television and Other Transmitting Towers; Subparagraph c.: Clause 1: so as to amend the setback requirements for towers abutting residentially zoned parcels [RUSH] – Mr. Jim LePan, Volkmann-Foster, stated there has been an increased demand for data in the last 10 years. The amount of requests a cell tower can receive is limited; therefore, there is a need for more cell towers in densely populated areas. The present ordinance does not allow for the construction of these cell towers on smaller parcels of land. The proposed change would allow the construction of collapsible cell towers that would fall in ½ or less of the tower height.

Ms. Best stated Charleston, Myrtle Beach, and Beaufort have changed the requirements to allow for construction of collapsible cell towers.

Mr. LePan stated each cell tower would be signed off on by a certified engineer.

Mr. Price stated overall there has not been a problem with industry representatives finding alternate locations when the proposed location did not meet the encroachment requirements.

Mr. Malinowski inquired if the cell tower were to fall and damage someone's property, who would be responsible for the damage?

Ms. McLean stated the cell company would be responsible. The County would have some liability from the Tort Claims Act for how the County permits. As long as the required steps have been followed and the tower was permitted properly, the County would typically be immune from liability.

Mr. Malinowski moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to forward this item to Council without a recommendation.

Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee Tuesday, April 5, 2016 Page Three

Motion that amends Richland County Code of Ordinances to provide that no person shall leave or confine an animal in any unattended motor vehicle under conditions that endanger the health or well-being of an animal due to heat, cold, lack of adequate ventilation, or lack of food or water, or other circumstances that could reasonably be expected to cause suffering, disability, or death to the animal. Allow that unless the animal suffers great bodily injury, a first conviction for violation of this section is punishable by a fine not exceeding one hundred dollars (\$100) per animal. If the animal suffers great bodily injury, a violation of this section is punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500), imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by both a fine and imprisonment. Any subsequent violation of this section, regardless of injury to the animal, is also punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500), imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by both a fine and imprisonment.

To allow a law enforcement officer or an animal control officer to remove an animal from a motor vehicle if the animal's safety appears to be in immediate danger from heat, cold, lack of adequate ventilation, lack of food or water, or other circumstances that could reasonably be expected to cause suffering, disability, or death to the animal.

A law enforcement officer, or animal control officer who removes an animal from a motor vehicle shall take it to an animal shelter or other place of safekeeping or, if the officer deems necessary, to a veterinary hospital for treatment.

A law enforcement officer or animal control officer is authorized to take all steps that are reasonably necessary for the removal of an animal from a motor vehicle, including, but not limited to, breaking into the motor vehicle, after a reasonable effort to locate the owner or other person responsible.

A law enforcement officer or animal control officer who removes an animal from a motor vehicle shall, in a secure and conspicuous location on or within the motor vehicle, leave written notice bearing his or her name and office, and the address of the location where the animal can be claimed. The animal may be claimed by the owner only after payment of all charges that have accrued for the maintenance, care, medical treatment, or impoundment of the animal.

This section does not affect in any way existing liabilities or immunities in current law, or create any new immunities or liabilities. [MANNING] – Mr. Bronson stated the intent of the motion was to give law enforcement the ability to break a window if an animal is deemed to be in harm's way.

Mr. Malinowski stated according to the documentation in the agenda it appears the majority of what is requested in the motion is included in the current ordinance.

Mr. Malinowski moved, seconded by Ms. Dixon, to defer this item until the April 19th Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Ms. Dixon scheduled the next meeting for April 19th at 3:00 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:56 PM

The Minutes were transcribed by Michelle M. Onley, Deputy Clerk of Council

Richland County Council Request for Action

Subject: Amending Chapter 17 to regulate the parking of motor vehicles in the front yard in certain residential zoning districts

A. Purpose

County Council is requested to consider a motion to amend Chapter 17 that would regulate the parking of motor vehicles in the front yard in certain residential zoning districts.

B. Background / Discussion

On September 15, 2015, a motion was made by the Honorable Damon Jeter and the Honorable Seth Rose, as follows:

"I move to propose an ordinance to impose regulations of motor vehicles parking on front lawns in certain residential zoning districts"

County Council forwarded this motion to the October D&S Committee for consideration and recommendation.

C. Legislative/Chronological History

- The D&S Committee sent the ordinance to the Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee on 10-27-15.
- The Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee sent the ordinance to County Council on 11-17-15.
- The ordinance received first reading on December 1, 2015.
- County Council deferred second reading on 12-8-15.
- County Council again sent the ordinance to the Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee on 12-15-
- The Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee deferred on 2-23-16.
- The Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee deferred on 3-15-16

D. Financial Impact

Dependent upon Council decision.

E. Alternatives

- 1. Approve the ordinance to regulate the parking of motor vehicles in the front yard within certain residential zoning districts.
- 2. Do not approve the ordinance to regulate the parking of motor vehicles in the front yard within certain residential zoning districts.
- 3. Approve an amended ordinance regulating the parking of motor vehicles in the front yard within certain residential zoning districts.

F. Recommendation

This request is at Council's discretion.

Recommended by: Honorable Damon Jeter and Honorable Seth Rose

Date: September 15, 2015

G. Reviews

Finance Reviewed by: <u>Daniel Driggers</u> □ Recommend Council approval Comments regarding recommendation:	Date: 2/24/16 ☐ Recommend Council denial
Based on information provided, the requeste	d amendment has no financial impact
Sheriff's Department Reviewed by: Chris Cowan ☐ Recommend Council approval Comments regarding recommendation:	Date: 3/2/16 ☐ Recommend Council denial
If passed, this would pose some issue	

If passed, this would pose some issues related to encouraging people to park in the roadway, curbside or that they may find other solutions for parking their cars in front of their houses (this may include people putting up covered carports/metal sheds or fitted covers over the cars). This may cause some safety issues for public safety getting into communities or other related issues with parking on the street.

Clarification is needed on:

- what the grace period would be for those in violation; to have it corrected
- will there be exceptions for covering the car or for acreage

There will be a financial impact:

- New forms will have to be created for posting cars and notification (s) to property owners - \$3000 annual cost
- The number of complaints will far exceed the number of personnel the Sheriff's Department was allocated when the County separated staffing and responsibilities for Code Enforcement 30/70. Currently, the City has 12 personnel conducting the same operations as the 6 personnel RCSD was allocated.

Additional, recommendation/request is that if the County makes changes to any ordinances; before they go into effect, to please put information out to community leaders through the RC Neighborhood Council, News Outlets, Everbridge Notification System and Civic organizations and not rely on advertising public hearings.

Planning	and	Development	Services

Reviewed by: Geonard Price, Zoning Admin.

Recommend Council approval

Comments regarding recommendation:

Date: 3/7/16

Recommend Council denial

Although Planning is not charged with enforcing the proposed ordinance, provision 17-10 (h) specifies that the parking of motor vehicles in the front yard will only apply to specific zoning districts, which is regulated by the Planning Department. Since residential development is not limited to the stated zoning districts of RS-LD, RS-MD, and RS-HD,

during enforcement the Sheriff's Department may encounter issues in determining the zoning of the property.

For practical enforcement, it is recommended that the proposed ordinance also include the zoning districts RM-HD, RM-MD, RU, RR, RS-E, and MH to fully account for where residential development is allowed.

Legal	
Reviewed by: Brad Farrar	Date: 3/7/16
☐ Recommend Council approval	☐ Recommend Council denial
	ey decision of Council. However, the "penalties" of arbitrariness and capriciousness in how the
Administration	
Reviewed by: Kevin Bronson	Date: 4/1/16
☐ Recommend Council approval	☐ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation:	

At the last Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee Councilmembers voted to defer this item until the April 5, 2016 meeting.

Concerns were expressed that the language in the ordinance (specifically the affected property zones) was a "one size fits all" approach. Council also asked for a provision that would accommodate special events.

Challenges seem to exist in two areas regarding this proposed ordinance: 1) which property zoning districts should be included in banning front yard parking; and 2) costs associated with enforcement.

Further, Mr. Malinowski asked for additional information regarding storm water impacts in the event current pervious areas are made impervious.

I have asked staff members of zoning, law enforcement and legal to attend the next meeting to discuss the concerns with the Councilmembers.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY COUNCIL FOR RICHLAND COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. ____-16HR

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE RICHLAND COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES; CHAPTER 17, MOTOR VEHICLES AND TRAFFIC; ARTICLE II, GENERAL TRAFFIC AND PARKING REGULATIONS; SECTION 17-10, PARKING IN RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL ZONES OF THE COUNTY; SO AS TO REGULATE THE PARKING OF MOTOR VEHICLES IN THE FRONT YARD IN CERTAIN RESIDENTIAL ZONING DISTRICTS.

Pursuant to the authority granted by the Constitution and the General Assembly of the State of South Carolina, BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL FOR RICHLAND COUNTY:

<u>SECTION I.</u> The Richland County Code of Ordinances; Chapter 17, Motor Vehicles and Traffic; Article II, General Traffic and Parking Regulations; Section 17-10, Parking in Residential Zones of the County; is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 17-10. Parking in residential and commercial zones of the county.

- (a) For the purpose of this section, the following definitions shall apply:
- (1) Fitted cover, for the purpose of this section, means a cover that conforms to the basic shape of the vehicle and covers all portions of such vehicle.
- (2) Improved surface means that the surface of a parking space is completely paved with concrete, asphalt, or some other like rigid surface, such as pavers or pervious concrete; to be certain, "improved" does not include gravel or crush and run, even when compacted.
- (23) Motor **vehicle* means every vehicle which is self-propelled, except mopeds, and every vehicle which is propelled by electric power obtained from overhead trolley wires, but not operated upon rails.
- (34) Semi-trailer means every vehicle, with or without motive power, designed for carrying persons or property and for being drawn by a motor vehicle, and constructed that some part of its weight and that of its load rests upon or is carried by another vehicle; and exceeds a gross weight of 10,000 pounds, or a manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of 10,000 pounds.
- (45) Trailer (other than semi-trailer) means every vehicle, with or without motive power, designed for carrying persons or property and for being drawn by a motor vehicle; and which does not exceed a gross weight of 10,000 pounds, or a manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of 10,000 pounds. This definition excludes camping trailers, boat trailers, travel trailers, and utility trailers, as such are regulated in the Richland County Land Development Code at Section 26-173 (f).

- (56) Truck tractor means every motor vehicle designed and used primarily for drawing other vehicles, and not so constructed as to carry a load other than a part of the weight of the vehicle and the load drawn.
- (b) It shall be unlawful for a truck tractor, a semi-trailer, or a trailer to be parked on any public street, road, right-of-way or as otherwise prohibited by the Richland County Code of Ordinances in the unincorporated portions of the county which are or hereafter shall be designated as Rural Residential, Single-Family Residential, Manufactured Home, or General Residential under the Richland County Zoning Ordinance and the "Zoning Map of Unincorporated Richland County", as amended.
- (c) Except as is provided in subsection (d), below, it shall be unlawful for any truck tractor, semi-trailer or trailer to be parked, stored or located on a lot in any residential zoning district in the unincorporated areas of the county [except for those parcels that are one (1) acre or greater in the (RU) Rural zoning district] unless the entire portion of such truck tractor, semi-trailer or trailer is parked, stored or located in an enclosed garage or in a carport at the residence, or is enclosed under a fitted cover.
- (d) Notwithstanding subsections (b) and (c), above, truck tractors, semi-trailers or trailers that are in active use in the provision of a service or delivery or removal of property or material at or from a residence in a residential zoning district may park on the public street, road, right-of-way or lot at which the service is being provided or the delivery or removal is being made, for only the duration of the service provision or delivery or removal as provided for herein. For purposes of this section, "active loading or unloading" shall include, but not be limited to, the delivery or removal of furniture, yard trash or debris, household or building materials, tangible personal property and the like, evidenced by the active involvement (e.g., the loading, unloading, service provision or supervision thereof) of the owner, operator, delivery personnel, service provider, or other person responsible for parking or causing to be parked the truck tractor, semi-trailer or trailer while the truck tractor, semi-trailer or trailer is parked on the public street, road, right-of-way or lot subject to this section. For purposes of this section, "active loading and unloading" does not include parking or "staging" a truck tractor, semi-trailer or trailer, leaving the same unattended and then engaging in loading, unloading, removal or service provision at a subsequent point beyond twenty-four (24) hours.
- (e) It shall be unlawful for a motor vehicle, or wheeled conveyance of any kind required by law to be licensed that is unlicensed, or is displaying an expired or invalid license to be parked on any public street or road, right-of-way or as otherwise prohibited by the Richland County Code of Ordinances in the unincorporated portions of the county which are or hereafter shall be designated as Rural Residential, Single-Family Residential, Manufactured Home, or Multi-Family Residential under the Richland County Zoning Ordinance and the "Zoning Map of Unincorporated Richland County", as amended.
- (f) All motor vehicles or trailers without a valid state-issued license plate permitting operation on public roads and highways, which are stored, parked, or located on a lot in any zoning district in the unincorporated areas of the county, except for those parcels that are three (3) acres or greater in the (RU) Rural zoning district, are required to be kept in a garage, carport, or protected from the elements by a fitted cover. Licensed automobile dealerships, persons licensed to conduct businesses involving storage and sale of junk and scrap, trailers utilized as temporary

structures in conjunction with construction activities, and vehicles used in agricultural operations and which are not operated on the public roads and highways are exempt.

- (g) Any motor vehicle or trailer that is not capable of operating in accordance with South Carolina law or, in the case of a motor vehicle, not capable of moving under its own power (even if it has a valid state-issued license plate permitting operation on public roads and highways) shall not be stored, parked, or located on a lot in any residential or commercial zoning district in the unincorporated areas of the county (except for those parcels that are three (3) acres or greater in the (RU) Rural zoning district) for more than forty-five (45) consecutive days unless it is kept in an enclosed garage, in a carport, or protected from the elements by a fitted cover.
- (h) All motor vehicles parked within the front yard or secondary front yard (corner lots) of any property zoned RS-LD, RS-MD, or RS-HD must be parked on an improved surface. Provided, however, motor vehicles may be parked on the grass of the front yard or secondary front yard on a temporary basis if the homeowner is hosting a special event and there is not enough parking available on the street.
- (hi) Penalties: Upon a finding by a deputy sheriff of a violation, any offender shall have an opportunity to cure the violation within a prescribed period of time; provided that the period of time allowed shall not begin to run until notice of the violation is provided to the offender. Notice shall be sufficient if provided by personal contact directly with the offender or by talking on the telephone with the offender, by the offender having accepted written notice by certified mail, or by placement of a notice of violation on the vehicle, motor vehicle, truck tractor, semi-trailer, or trailer. If the offender, resident, owner of the vehicle, motor vehicle, truck tractor, semi-trailer, or trailer or owner of the real property on which the violation occurred fails to take proper corrective action, in the prescribed time, such person shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, shall be fined not more than five hundred (\$500.00) dollars or imprisoned for not more than thirty (30) days, or both. Each day such violation continues after due notice shall be considered a separate offense. Any owner and/or operator of a vehicle, motor vehicle, truck tractor, semi-trailer, or trailer which is in violation of this section (or if the offender is unable to be located, any owner of land on which the violation occurred), and any person who commits, participates in, assists in, or maintains that violation may each be found guilty of a separate offense and suffer the penalties set forth herein. In the event that an offender has been previously cited for or given notice of a violation of this section, enforcement action may be taken immediately without the requirement of an opportunity to cure the violation.
- (i) Administration and enforcement: The Sheriff of Richland County shall be authorized to enforce the provisions of this section and to engage a towing service to remove any vehicle parked in violation of these regulations, provided the cost of towing services shall be charged to the registered owner of any vehicle so removed.

<u>SECTION II.</u> <u>Severability.</u> If any section, subsection, or clause of this ordinance shall be deemed to be unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, the validity of the remaining sections, subsections, and clauses shall not be affected thereby.

<u>SECTION III.</u> <u>Conflicting Ordinances Repealed.</u> All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

SECTION IV. Effective Date. This ordinance shall	be effective from and after	, 2016.
	RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL	
	BY: Torrey Rush, Chair	
ATTEST THIS THE DAY		
OF, 2016		
S. Monique McDaniels Clerk of Council		
RICHLAND COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE		
Approved As To LEGAL Form Only No Opinion Rendered As To Content		
First Reading: December 1, 2015 Second Reading: Public Hearing: Third Reading:		

Richland County Council Request of Action

Subject: Council motion to amend ordinance to include provisions for animals unattended in vehicles

A. Purpose

County Council is requested to consider a motion by Councilman Jim Manning to amend the Richland County Code of Ordinances to provide provisions for animals being left unattended in vehicles under adverse conditions.

B. Background / Discussion

On September 26, 2014, Councilman Manning received an email from a citizen, who stated that she witnessed two dogs in Arcadia Lakes being left in a vehicle during a 90 degree day. She was told by an officer of Arcadia Lakes that it was okay to leave an animal in a car for up to an hour. This began an inquiry into the current Richland County Ordinance and the laws regulating animal cruelty.

On February 17, 2015, the citizen submitted her request for the wording that she felt should be added to the Richland County Code of Ordinances to Councilman Manning. The wording was based on California state law and had to be reviewed in conjunction with the current Richland County Code to determine its feasibility. Councilman Manning has made a motion to amend the current Richland County Code of Ordinances to include the following provisions.

No person shall leave or confine an animal in any unattended motor vehicle under conditions that endanger the health or well-being of an animal due to heat, cold, lack of adequate ventilation, or lack of food or water, or other circumstances that could reasonably be expected to cause suffering, disability, or death to the animal.

Allow that unless the animal suffers great bodily injury, a first conviction for violation of this section is punishable by a fine not exceeding one hundred dollars (\$100) per animal. If the animal suffers great bodily injury, a violation of this section is punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500), imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by both a fine and imprisonment. Any subsequent violation of this section, regardless of injury to the animal, is also punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500), imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by both a fine and imprisonment.

To allow a law enforcement officer or an animal control officer to remove an animal from a motor vehicle if the animal's safety appears to be in immediate danger from heat, cold, lack of adequate ventilation, lack of food or water, or other circumstances that could reasonably be expected to cause suffering, disability, or death to the animal.

A law enforcement officer or animal control officer who removes an animal from a motor vehicle shall take it to an animal shelter or other place of safekeeping or, if the officer deems necessary, to a veterinary hospital for treatment.

A law enforcement officer or animal control officer is authorized to take all steps that are reasonably necessary for the removal of an animal from a motor vehicle, including, but not limited to, breaking into the motor vehicle, after a reasonable effort to locate the owner or other person responsible.

This section does not affect in any way existing liabilities or immunities in current law, or create any new immunities or liabilities.

A law enforcement officer or animal control officer who removes an animal from a motor vehicle shall, in a secure and conspicuous location on or within the motor vehicle, leave written notice bearing his or her name and office, and the address of the location where the animal can be claimed. The animal may be claimed by the owner only after payment of all charges that have accrued for the maintenance, care, medical treatment, or impoundment of the animal.

C. Legislative / Chronological History

- Motion referred to the Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee on January 12, 2016.
- Ordinance Review Ad Hoc Committee deferred on February 23, 2016.

D. Financial Impact

There is no financial impact.

E. Alternatives

- 1. Approve the request to go forward with the changes to the ordinance.
- 2. Do not approve the proposed changes.
- 3. Approve the request to go forward with changes after agreed upon changes to the proposal.

F. Recommendation

This request is at Council's discretion

Recommended by: Honorable Jim Manning

Department: Council District 8

Date: 1/12/2016

G. Reviews

(Please replace the appropriate box with a ✓ and then support your recommendation in the Comments section before routing on. Thank you!)

Please be specific in your recommendation. While "Council Discretion" may be appropriate at times, it is recommended that Staff provide Council with a professional recommendation of approval or denial, and justification for that recommendation, as often as possible.

Finance			
Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers	Date: 3/11/16		
Recommend Council approval	Recommend Council denial		
Comments regarding recommendation:			
Based on ROA, request is a policy decision	n for Council with no financial impact.		
Animal Care			
Reviewed by: Sandra Haynes	Date: 3/10/16		
☐ Recommend Council approval	☐ Recommend Council denial		
This is at Council's discretion. The current	t wording of Section 5-9 of the Richland		
County Ordinance already covers what this	s additional wording is aimed to		
accomplish: (It shall be unlawful for an or			
animal(s) with sufficient good and wholes			
protection from the weather, veterinary ca			
humane care and treatment. The current environments of animal cruelty and does not wording would only give permission for an is to eliminate any risk, a zero tolerance and tolerance law would make it illegal to leave regardless of the temperature of the animal (for any length of time) in a car will always the car could stall, the animal could put the could occur, or animal theft could result. U immunity, then an officer is still virtually in the wording.	ot provide exclusion for vehicles. The new a officer to break into a vehicle. If the goal nendment should be considered. A zero e an animal unattended in a car at all, 's well-being. An animal left unattended is have its well-being compromised because car in gear, carbon monoxide poisoning altimately, if the law does not create any new		
Sheriff's Department	Data 2/11/16		
Reviewed by: <u>Chris Cowan</u>	Date: 3/11/16 ☐ Recommend Council denial		
☐ Recommend Council approval Comments regarding recommendation:	Recommend Council demai		
RCSD is in favor of anything that will prote dangers arise from leaving animals in vehic tolerance law option, making it illegal to le all, is in the best interest of the animal, comsubjective issues like weather and human fa	cles unattended. It may be that the zero ave an animal unattended in a vehicle at munity and County; as it negates		
Legal			
Reviewed by: Elizabeth McLean	Date: 3/31/16		
☐ Recommend Council approval Comments regarding recommendation: Leg	☐ Recommend Council denial gal opinion provided under separate cover.		
Administration			
Reviewed by: <u>Kevin Bronson</u>	Date: 3/31/16		
Recommend Council approval	Recommend Council denial		
Comments regarding recommendation:			

The request is a policy decision for Council.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY COUNCIL FOR RICHLAND COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. -16HR

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE RICHLAND COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES; CHAPTER 5, ANIMALS AND FOWL; SECTION 5-9, ANIMAL CARE, GENERALLY; SO AS TO MAKE IT UNLAWFUL TO LEAVE AN ANIMAL IN AN UNATTENDED MOTOR VEHICLE UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES.

Pursuant to the authority granted by the Constitution of the State of South Carolina and the General Assembly of the State of South Carolina, BE IT ENACTED BY RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL:

<u>SECTION I.</u> The Richland County Code of Ordinances; Chapter 5. Animals and Fowl; Section 5-9, Animal care, generally; is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 5-9. Animal care, generally.

- (a) It shall be unlawful for an owner to fail to provide his or her animal(s) with sufficient good and wholesome food and water, proper shelter and protection from the weather, veterinary care when needed to prevent suffering, and humane care and treatment.
- (b) It shall be unlawful for a person to beat, cruelly treat, torment, overload, overwork, or otherwise abuse an animal, or cause, instigate, or permit any dogfight or other combat between animals or between animals and humans.
- (c) It shall be unlawful for a person to dye or color artificially any animal or fowl, including but not limited to rabbits, baby chickens, and ducklings, or to bring any dyed or colored animal or fowl into the County.
- (d) It shall be unlawful for any owner to abandon an animal in the unincorporated area of the county
- (e) No person <u>n</u> shall <u>be unlawful to</u> leave or confine an animal in any unattended motor venter under conditions that endanger the health or well-being of an animal due to heat cold, lank of adequate ventilation, or lack of food or water, or other circumstances that could reasonably be expected to cause suffering, disability, or death to the animal.

Allow that unless the animal suffers great bodily injury, a first conviction for violation of this section is punishable by a fine not exceeding one hundred dollars (\$100) per animal. If the animal suffers great bodily injury, a violation of this section is punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500), imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by both a fine and imprisonment. Any subsequent violation of this section, regardless of injury to the animal, is also punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500), imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by both a fine and imprisonment.

To allow a <u>A</u> law enforcement officer or an <u>aA</u>nimal <u>control Care oOfficer to may</u> remove an animal from a motor vehicle if the animal's safety appears to be in immediate danger from heat, cold, lack of adequate ventilation, lack of food or water, or other circumstances that could reasonably be expected to cause suffering, disability, or death to the animal. A <u>law enforcement officer or animal control officer who removes an Such animal from a motor vehicle shall <u>be impounded and taken</u> it to <u>an animal shelter or other place of safekeeping or, if the officer deems necessary, to a veterinary hospital for treatment the Animal Care Facility.</u></u>

A <u>The</u> law enforcement officer or animal control officer Animal Care Officer a authorized to take all steps that are reasonably necessary for the removal of an animal from a motor vehicle, including, but not limited to, breaking into the motor vehicle, but shall only do so after a <u>all</u> reasonable efforts to locate the owner or other person responsible <u>have failed</u> and in the officer's reasonable opinion, failure to remove the mimal will result in the immediate harm or death to the animal. In addition to secure and impounding the animal, the officer shall issue a uniform ordinance summons and, if the owner has not been located, place such in a secure and conspicuous location on or within the motor vehicle.

This section does not affect in any way existing liabilities immunities in current law, or create any new immunities or liabilities.

A law enforcement officer or animal control officer who removes an animal from a motor vehicle shall, in a secure and conspicuous location on or within the motor vehicle, leave written notice bearing his or her name and office, and the address of the location where the animal can be claimed. The animal may be claimed by the owner only after payment of all charges that have accrued for the maintenance, care, medical treatment, or impoundment of the animal.

SECTION II. Severability. If any section, subsection, or clause of this ordinance shall be deemed unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, the validity of the remaining sections, subsections, and clauses shall not be affected thereby.

<u>SECTION III.</u> Conflicting Ordinances. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

SECTION IV.	Effective	Date.	This	ordinance	shall	be	effective	from	and	after
			_ '							
				RICHI	LAND	COU	JNTY CO	UNCIL	,	

BY:

Torrey Rush, Chair

ATTEST THIS THE DAY
OF, 2016.
Michelle Onley Deputy Clerk of Council
RICHLAND COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Approved As To LEGAL Form Only No Opinion Rendered As To Content
First Reading: Second Reading: Public Hearing: Third Reading:
R. C.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY COUNCIL FOR RICHLAND COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. _____-16HR

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE RICHLAND COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES; CHAPTER 5, ANIMALS AND FOWL; SECTION 5-9, ANIMAL CARE, GENERALLY; SO AS TO MAKE IT UNLAWFUL TO LEAVE AN ANIMAL IN AN UNATTENDED MOTOR VEHICLE.

Pursuant to the authority granted by the Constitution of the State of South Carolina and the General Assembly of the State of South Carolina, BE IT ENACTED BY RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL:

<u>SECTION I.</u> The Richland County Code of Ordinances; Chapter 5, Animals and Fowl; Section 5-9, Animal care, generally; is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 5-9. Animal care, generally.

- (a) It shall be unlawful for an owner to fail to provide his or her animal(s) with sufficient good and wholesome food and water, proper shelter and protection from the weather, veterinary care when needed to prevent suffering and humane care and treatment.
- (b) It shall be unlawful for a person to beat, cruelly treat, torment, overload, overwork, or otherwise abuse an animal, or cause, instigate, or permit any dogfight or other combat between animals or between animals and humans.
- (c) It shall be unlawful for a person to dye or color artificially any animal or fowl, including but not limited to rabbits, baby chickens, and ducklings, or to bring any dyed or colored animal or fowl into the County.
- (d) It shall be unlawful for any owner to abandon an animal in the unincorporated area of the county.
- (e) I lan so unlawful to leave or confine an animal in any unattended motor vehicle for any spriod of time.

<u>SECTION II</u> <u>Severability</u>. If any section, subsection, or clause of this ordinance shall be deemed unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, the validity of the remaining sections, subsections, and clauses shall not be affected thereby.

<u>SECTION III</u>. <u>Conflicting Ordinances</u>. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

SECTION IV. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be effective from and after

	BY:	Rush, Chair
ATTEST THIS THE DAY		(
OF, 2016.		1 6
Michelle Onley	_	
Deputy Clerk of Council	. 1	
RICHLAND COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OF	PICE	
Approved As To LEGAL Form Only No Opinion Rendered As To Content		
El de Barriero		
First Reading: Second Reading: Public Hearing:		
Third Reading:		

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY COUNCIL FOR RICHLAND COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. ____-16HR

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE RICHLAND COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES; CHAPTER 26, LAND DEVELOPMENT; ARTICLE VII, GENERAL DEVELOPMENT, SITE, AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS; SECTION 26-173, OFF-STREET PARKING STANDARDS; SUBSECTION (F), PARKING OF RECREATIONAL VEHICLES, BOATS, AND TRAVEL TRAILERS; SO AS TO ADD UTILITY TRAILERS AND TO ALLOW ALL SUCH VEHICLES AND TRAILERS TO BE PARKED ON ANY LOT WITHIN THE COUNTY.

Pursuant to the authority granted by the Constitution and the General Assembly of the State of South Carolina, BE IT ENACTED BY THE RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL:

<u>SECTION I.</u> The Richland County Code of Ordinances, Chapter 26, Land Development; Article VII, General Development, Site, and Performance Standards; Section 26-173, Off-Street Parking Standards; Subsection (f), Parking of Recreational Vehicles, Boats, and Travel Trailers; is hereby amended to read as follows:

- (f) Parking of recreational vehicles, boats, and boat trailers, travel trailers, camping trailers, and utility trailers. Travel or camping vehicles: Not more than one (1)

 Recreational vehicles, boats, boat trailers, travel trailers, camping trailers, and utility trailers travel or camping vehicle, per family living on the premises, shall be permitted to be parked on any lot in any residential zone. within the County. The vehicle shall not be parked in the required front or side yard nor shall any such vehicle be parked or stored in front of the principal structure on a residentially zoned lot. Provided, however, The vehicle shall not be occupied temporarily or permanently while it is parked or stored, except in an authorized recreational vehicle park.
 - (2) Boats or travel trailers: No boat or travel trailer shall be stored in any required front or side yard of any residentially zoned property nor shall any boat or travel trailer be stored or parked in front of a principal structure on a residentially zoned lot.

<u>SECTION II.</u> <u>Severability</u>. If any section, subsection, or clause of this ordinance shall be deemed to be unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, the validity of the remaining sections, subsections, and clauses shall not be affected thereby.

<u>SECTION III.</u> <u>Conflicting Ordinances Repealed</u>. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

SECTION IV. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be enforced from and after ______, 2016.

RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL

	BY:
	Torrey Rush, Chair
ATTEST THIS THE DAY	
OF, 2016	
S. Monique McDaniels Clerk of Council	
RICHLAND COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
Approved As To LEGAL Form Only No Opinion Rendered As To Content	

First Reading: Public Hearing: Second Reading: Third Reading:

March 22, 2016 (tentative) March 22, 2016 (tentative)