

**TRANSPORTATION PENNY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
MONDAY, OCTOBER 21, 2013
2020 HAMPTON STREET, 4TH FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM**



**Richland County
TRANSPORTATION PENNY**

In accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, a copy of the agenda was sent to radio and TV stations, newspapers, persons requesting notification, and was posted on the bulletin board located in the lobby of the County Administration Building.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Hayes Mizell, Carol Kososki, DeTreville (Trevor) Bowers, III, Bob Brown, Natalie Britt, Derrick Huggins, J. T. McLawhorn, Paul Livingston, and Norman Jackson

OTHERS PRESENT: Rob Perry, Tony McDonald, Justine Jones, Kelvin E. Washington, Sr., Ismail Ozbek, Michelle Onley

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at approximately 5:06 p.m.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- ☀ **September 23, 2013** – Mr. McLawhorn moved, seconded by Mr. Huggins, to approve the minutes as distributed.

CITIZENS' INPUT

No one signed up to speak.

TRANSPORTATION PENNY UPDATE

- ☀ **Program Funding Update** – Mr. Perry gave an update on the revenue of sales tax funds for the first quarter. On October 10th, the County received \$12,319,012.24 which covers the months of May, June and July. The IGA with the COMET allows them to invoice for \$3,465,338.14. In addition, the County bonded \$50 million towards the program. An excellent percentage rate of 0.19% was received, which equates to approximately \$95,000 in interest for the bond.
- ☀ **Summary of visit to York and Charleston County Transportation Programs** – Mr. Perry presented a side by side comparison with questions asked and answered provided on both programs. The following questions were expressed by TPAC Members:

- a. Kososki – Clarification on the County Staff Proposal. Mr. Perry stated the plan was to retain two program managers. One manager will focus on the large scale transportation projects and the other will focus on enhancements, dirt road paving and resurfacing. Once the projects are under construction the intent is to hire a construction inspection manager.
 - b. McLawhorn – Comparison of fiscal impacts of internal staffing versus hiring consultants for the PDT contract. Mr. Perry is to research this to determine if there is comparison data available.
 - c. Digital copy of York vs. Charleston County comparison was requested by TPAC Committee.
- ☀ Proposed Ad Hoc Transportation Committee Revisions to Request for Proposals (RFP): Mr. Perry outlined the differences in the original RFP and the revised RFP approved by the Council Transportation Ad Hoc Committee. The revised language regarding the Minority Business will tie directly back to the ordinance SLBE Ordinance. Also outlined were the scoring criteria for the PDT team. Of particular interest was the fact that the PDT team and their subs will be ineligible for selection on the on-call engineering list. The TPAC Committee requested final copy of approved RFP.
- ☀ Upcoming Activities: The RFP will be on the November 5th Council agenda for approval.

Mr. Perry stated that the Council Transportation Ad Hoc Committee approved using the same scoring criteria for the PDT and On-Call Engineering RFPs. The On-Call Engineering RFP will be placed on the November 19th Council agenda for approval.

OTHER BUSINESS

- ☀ Annual Report to the Public: Mr. Mizell suggested that the TPAC Committee provide an annual report to the public explaining where the County is with regards to the program development. It was requested that the Public Information Office assist the TPAC Committee with this endeavor. Mr. Perry indicated that he had created a brief PowerPoint that covered most of the topics.
- ☀ Mitigation Bank Status: Ms. Kososki requested that the TPAC agenda include an update on the establishment of the proposed mitigation bank.

REMINDER—NEXT MEETING: MONDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2013 AT 5:00 PM

ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at approximately 5:57 PM.