BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS October 2, 2013

1

Present: Joshua McDuffie, Michael Spearman, Frank Richardson, William Smith, Susanne Cecere, Sheldon Cooke, Christopher Sullivan]

Called to order: 1:07 pm

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I'd like to call this meeting of the Richland County Board of Zoning Appeals to order. In accordance with the Freedom of Information Act a copy of the Agenda was sent to radio and television stations, newspapers, persons requesting notification and posted on the bulletin board located in the lobby of the County Administration Building. At this time Amelia Linder, the Board's attorney, will give the Rules of Order.

MS. LINDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon, gentlemen. I believe we have just one case today and that will be yours. As you know, this Board is a *quasi*judicial board, and so when they make a decision it's gonna be a final decision. And if you're unhappy with that decision you go to circuit court. You as the Applicant will have up to 15 minutes to speak and present your case. There doesn't appear to be any opposition here today, but if there should be somebody coming in they would have three minutes to speak. And then you would be able to rebut the opposition, you would have five minutes. When you testify you'll be under oath. Conduct your, or present your testimony to the Board Members and not to members of the audience, please. If you have any documents you want to submit you may do so. And the Board again can make approval or disapproval or they could approve with conditions and they have a lot of discretion in how they regard your request. Okay, we'd ask if you have a cell phone that

the cell phones be turned off or muted. Make sure if you're planning to testify that your 1 name is on the signup sheet. Do you have the signup sheet? 2

22

23

amended. MR. RICHARDSON: Second.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: And it's seconded. Alright, all in favor?

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I do.

MS. LINDER: Should the Board have any kind of legal questions we may go into Executive Session but I do not anticipate that happening. Does anyone have any questions? If not, then I'm going to swear in everybody that's planning to testify so if you would stand, please, raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

9

3

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: I do.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Thank you very much. At this time we'll move on to the approval of Minutes from the May 2013 Board meeting. Has everyone had an opportunity to read the Minutes? Are there any, any corrections?

MR. SPEARMAN: I have a couple, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay.

MR. SPEARMAN: Page 11, line 11, it's referring to Chairman Palmer. And then on page 34, line 19, should be Ms. Instead of Mr.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright. Are there any other corrections? Alright, is there 18 a motion to approve the Minutes as amended? 19

20 MR. SMITH: I make a motion to approve the Minutes of last meeting. As 21

[Approved: Spearman, Richardson, Smith, McDuffie, Cecere, Cooke, Sullivan]

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, the Minutes from May 1st are approved unanimously. And at this time we will move on to the public hearing portion of today's meeting, and turn the meeting over to Mr. Geo Price, the Deputy Planning Director and Zoning Administrator.

MR. PRICE: We do have one change to the Agenda and that would be Case 13-07 V, it's a variance for a height limitation on a sign. That particular case has been deferred.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay. Alright, Mr. Price, please call your first case.

CASE NO. 13-05 SE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

MR. PRICE: Okay, the first item is Case 13-05 Special Exception. The Applicant 11 is requesting the Board of Zoning Appeals to grant a special exception to permit the 12 construction of a communication tower in a GC district. The Applicant is ClearTalk. The 13 Applicant is proposing to erect a 160' communication tower. I believe, and the Applicant 14 would need to clear up one thing for us, I believe it's gonna be within a 2,000 square 15 foot compound area. Just want to make sure that matches with what they've submitted 16 17 in the package. The immediate area consists of commercial, residential and institutional uses. Staff visited the site and we confirmed that the required setbacks according to 18 §26-152(D)(22)(c)(iii), that, excuse me, that'll be (c)(i) and (iii), both be met with the 19 20 submittal, as indicated. Cause in this particular case they do abut residential and commercial, so two of the criteria would apply to it. And that would be it from Staff. I 21 believe you also, I gave you a copy of the, of the plans for it and the sheet pages are at 22

the bottom on the right, so if we need to we can refer to those, but I will also have themup on the screen.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, anything else?

MR. PRICE: That would be it.

3

4

8

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay. At this time I'd like to call the Applicant, Mike
Feigenbaum, right? Alright, please, yeah please state your name and address for the
Record.

TESTIMONY OF MIKE FEIGENBAUM:

MR. FEIGENBAUM: Mike Feigenbaum from ClearTalk, 2 Sandy Springs Court, 9 Columbia, South Carolina. Is that better? I'll repeat it. Mike Feigenbaum, ClearTalk 10 Wireless, #2 Sandy Springs Court, Columbia 29210. I'm here, you know, Mr. Price has 11 accurately described, you know, what we would like to do over here at this particular 12 property. I'm here primarily to answer questions and, and make sure that the Board has 13 all the information that they need. The primary reason why we need this particular site 14 is, you know, for Brice field and for the fairgrounds and for a lot of commercial activity 15 and some student housing in this area. We're currently in this particular area, we're 16 17 located upon a Diamond Communications Tower, American Tower site, and a Crown Castle site, and you know, even though we're doing that co-location we still have this 18 hole and we're kind of getting hit pretty hard with capacity issues. So that kind of made 19 20 it necessary for us to search for another site in the particular area to, to fill that hole and provide good service to, to our customers. We're, as Mr. Price also said, we're looking 21 22 to construct 160' monopole tower. Those are much less visually obtrusive than the 23 lattice tower that, you know, we see all the time. And, you know, and in the General

Commercial area I think it, you know, at least from my point of view, the, you know, it's 1 the right kind of tower for the, for the general aesthetics of the area. So the property on 2 which we're building this has a warehouse, and not much else. We're building this, you 3 know, pretty much as far away from the rights-of-way as we can, up against the railroad 4 right-of-way, and so again, in order to be as inobtrusive as possible, yet still fulfill, you 5 6 know, the objectives of the particular site. So that's the, that's what I have to say on, you know, about this site in general and, and as I said, I'm open to any questions anybody 7 might have. 8 9 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Are there, does anyone on the Board have questions for the Applicant at this time? 10 MR. COOKE: Yeah, just out of curiosity, sir, you said you have some co-11 locations going on within that area. 12 MR. FEIGENBAUM: Yes. 13 MR. COOKE: What's the proximity to this current tower that you're proposing 14 today? 15 MR. FEIGENBAUM: I'm gonna ask our RF Engineer, Bill Howard. He can 16 17 address that much better than I can. I'm gonna ask Bill to -MR. COOKE: Were you sworn in? 18 MR. HOWARD: Yes. 19 20 MR. COOKE: TESTIMONY OF BILL HOWARD: 21 MR. HOWARD: William Howard at 912 S. Edisto Avenue, Columbia, South 22 23 Carolina. I thought you might ask that question so I actually reviewed this before I came

1	in. The closest tower is a, is the Diamond Communication Tower, which has since been
2	acquired by ATC, American Tower Company. We went on it when it was owned by
3	Diamond, but that's 4,800' feet away. Then we are on a Crown Castle Tower on
4	Highway 48 and that's 4,900' away. And then the third closest co-lo that we are on is a
5	ClearTalk owned tower, which was the tower we constructed behind Capital Karate on
6	Rosewood. And that's 1.3 miles away.
7	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Whose tower was that?
8	MR. HOWARD: ClearTalk Wireless. We tried to co-lo on a Crown Castle site
9	there but it's one of those stealth towers, so the antennas go inside a [inaudible] and all
10	three slots were taken up by Verizon, T-Mobile and AT&T, so.
11	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Which tower was that?
12	MR. HOWARD: That's the one on Rosewood behind Capital Karate.
13	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay.
14	MR. HOWARD: Yeah, it's a flagpole, so.
15	MR. COOKE: And that's the one that's 1.3 miles away?
16	MR. HOWARD: Correct.
17	MR. COOKE: Well you're the expert, Mr. William, what's your last name?
18	Howard. With all, with this type of saturation, so you still need another -
19	MR. HOWARD: Correct. We operate with only 10 megahertz of spectrum in this
20	market.
21	MR. COOKE: Okay.
22	MR. HOWARD: So what that allows, we only have two channels. And right now
23	we're using one for voice and one for data. Most of the other players have at the very

least 30 megahertz, so three times what we have. So what that allows them is much more capacity. They can handle many more calls from one single site than we can, since we only have 10 megahertz. Unfortunately we need more sites, particularly in an area like this where, you know, housing is numerous, lots of, Mike mentioned, student housing in that area, and then obviously when there's a ballgame.

MR. COOKE: And the multiple sites give you the capacity that you're looking for.

MR. HOWARD: The capacity, correct. Instead of, you know, one person will be on, basically the crowd will be sharing more sites.

MR. COOKE: Okay.

10 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: In addition to the, to the capacity constraint you were 11 just mentioning – watch your mic – in addition to the capacity constraint you were just 12 mentioning, I noticed in your Application and I've noticed this before in both the 13 Application and in the testimony, that the AWS system operating in the 2100 megahertz 14 band gets, needs –

15

16

17

18

6

7

8

9

MR. HOWARD: Attenuates more, correct.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Yeah, it's -

MR. HOWARD: It does not propagate as far as say 1900 megahertz or the ideal would be 800 megahertz.

19 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Just theoretically, if, how many more sites and how 20 much taller would they have to be in order to, to build out a network, you know, that 21 would be comparable to a, to a cellular or a PCS network? Just, you know, percentage 22 wise or –

MR. HOWARD: It really depends on the number of users, you know, obviously subscribers. Verizon has, I wouldn't even want to hazard a guess at the number of subscribers that Verizon has in Columbia. We have 10,000, and you know, coming into the market, we weren't quite sure where our pockets of subscribers would be, where we would have more or less. But now through, you know, monitoring traffic patterns and things of that nature and just, you know, the zip codes of our subscribers, we can see where we will need more towers. One place we need a couple more is in the northeast. And then we are looking to, basically we satisfied most of our capacity issues in Columbia now. Now we're just looking to expand out into say, far out into Richland County toward Sumter, and then Lexington County.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, well I mean, we're dealing with Richland County here today, so I guess I'm just sort of wondering, assuming you all arrive at some point at a, at a steady state of subscribers or a slower rate of growth than you probably are experiencing as a, as a new start up, you know, what's the final build out of the, of the Richland County network going to look like?

MR. HOWARD: As we head out, as you head out, say Garners Ferry towards Sumter, we are looking, maybe two more sites before you hit Sumter County, perhaps. Then we would like to also, down the road, head up towards Winnsboro, so in that direction perhaps two or three.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I mean, but what would you, what would a company like Verizon, how many, how many sites would they be operating out of, versus what's your end state going to look like? You know, how many towers are you gonna need?

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. HOWARD: That's an impossible number to –

	9
1	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay. I, I'm sorry I'm trying to pin you down, but –
2	MR. HOWARD: - I mean, I would just be making something up.
3	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: - would you need, but for the, the AWS system, I mean,
4	would you need 20% more sites to –
5	MR. HOWARD: Oh, no, I –
6	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: - to max out the network? Or 10% more sites?
7	MR. HOWARD: We actually, well we're using, we have a certain vendor that
8	makes an antenna for us that Verizon probably wishes they had when they built out
9	Columbia. But it's a super high grain antenna, so that does give us a leg up, you know,
10	it kind of mitigates some of the issues we have at, you know, at 2100 megahertz. We
11	actually, when we came into this market because it was already a mature market with
12	quite a few towers around, we were able to pick and choose the ideal site list so to
13	speak, whereas Verizon, since they basically are the reason for the towers, didn't have
14	the inventory to pick from. So they probably have quite a bit more overlap than we do.
15	We have a, an extremely efficient network.
16	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: More optimized?
17	MR. HOWARD: Correct, correct. Because I did have such a huge amount of co-
18	location possibilities to choose from at the onset. So.
19	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Roughly how many co-located sites do y'all operate
20	and roughly how many –
21	MR. HOWARD: I think we have about 38 co-location sites in our network.
22	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: And roughly how many towers do y'all either have right
23	now in operation or under construction?

	10]					
1	MR. HOWARD: We have 52.						
2	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay. So 52 and then what –						
3	MR. HOWARD: Fifty-two on air.						
4	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: - and then what was, the previous number was?						
5	MR. HOWARD: Thirty-eight co-lo's, but then we are also on about six rooftops.						
6	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay. So 44 plus 52ish?						
7	MR. HOWARD: We have 52 total on air.						
8	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Oh, okay.						
9	MR. HOWARD: On air. And then we do have -						
10	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: But that includes those 38 co-lo's and the rooftops.						
11	MR. HOWARD: We have about 10 in development in Lexington County.						
12	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay. Are there any other questions for the Applicant?						
13	Nothing? Alright, at this time I'd like to look at the Findings of Fact. Would somebody						
14	care to – Mr. Cooke?						
15	MR. COOKE: Yes, Mr. Chairman.						
16	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Would you care to go through the Findings of Fact?						
17	MR. COOKE: I would, sure will. Yes, sir. At this time will the proposed tower have						
18	a maximum height of less than 300'? That would be a yes. The proposed tower will be						
19	located on - okay, it's not gonna be located on a building so we don't have to worry						
20	about (A) and (B) of number 4. Is the base of the proposed tower located at least one						
21	foot from a residential zoning district for each foot of height of the tower? Staff, we did						
22	say that this was in a industrial.						
23	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: General Commercial?						

	11
1	MR. COOKE: General Commercial, is that correct?
2	MR. PRICE: Yes, sir. The parcel where the tower's gonna be located is in a
3	General Commercial district.
4	MR. COOKE: But is it residential?
5	MR. PRICE: However, the parcels north of the site are zoned Residential, so it
6	had to be at least 160' away and as indicated on sheet, I believe it's C(1), that's been
7	presented to you, they will be at least 160' away.
8	MR. COOKE: Okay, thank you. So is the base of the proposed tower located at
9	least 50' from a nonresidential zoning district? Okay, that's gonna be yes, cause it has.
10	MR. PRICE: That's not applicable.
11	MR. COOKE: Alright, so we can move on to 6, is that correct, Mr. Chairman?
12	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Correct.
13	MR. COOKE: Alright, has the Applicant shown proof of an attempt to co-locate in
14	an existing communication tower? Did the Applicant show the alternative towers,
15	buildings or other structures were not available for use within the Applicant's tower site
16	search area that was structurally capable of supporting the intended antenna or meet
17	the Applicant's necessary criteria or provide a location free of interference from other
18	communication towers? I want to say yes, but they already co-located, is that correct?
19	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Well, but the Applicant or –
20	MR. COOKE: Did they show proof?
21	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Mr. Howard did mention that there were no suitable, or
22	mention the surrounding towers that they were using or attempting to use.

MR. COOKE: One question for Staff if I can while I'm going through these
 questions. What, again what are we using as proof? Are we –

MR. PRICE: We're relying on the expert testimony of the Applicant.

MR. COOKE: So we're relying on Mr. Howard's testimony?

MR. PRICE: Yes.

3

4

5

MR. COOKE: Okay. Is the Applicant willing to allow other users to co-locate on 6 the proposed tower in the future, subject to engineering capabilities of the structure? 7 And I'm going to say yes to that. Will the proposed tower meet the illumination 8 9 requirements of regulatory agencies such as the Federal Communication Commission or the Federal Aviation Administration based on the information we have in our packet? 10 I want to say yes. Has the Applicant agreed to have no nighttime strobe lighting 11 incorporated on the tower unless required by the FCC or the FAA or other regulatory 12 agency? I'm gonna say yes. Will the communication tower and associated building be 13 enclosed within a fence at least 7' high? Yes, they will. Has the Applicant agreed to 14 landscape the communication tower site in accordance with the cite of §26-176? And 15 I'm pretty sure they will agree to that. Has the Applicant agreed to place no signage to 16 17 any portions of the communication tower unless the sign is for the purpose of identification, warning, emergency functions or contact or other as required by 18 applicable state or federal rule, law or regulation? Yes. Has the Applicant agreed to 19 20 dismantle and remove the communication tower within 120 days of the date the tower is taken out of service? I'm pretty sure they have complied to that, yes. Will traffic be 21 impacted by this proposal? And I want to say no based on the information we've 22 23 reviewed. Will this proposal affect vehicle or pedestrian safety? No. Is there a potential

impact of noise, light, fumes or obstruction of air flow on adjoining property? No. Does
this proposed communication tower have an adverse impact on the aesthetics character
of the environs? No. Is the orientation and spacing of improvements or building
appropriate? I would like to say yes. With that being said, going over the Facts and
Findings, Mr. Chairman, I would like to move to approve the Special Exception, Special
Exception 13-05 SE, based on the Findings of the Facts.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright.

MR. SMITH: I second.

7

8

13

9 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, so based on the Finding of Fact we have a 10 motion that has been seconded to approve Special Exception 13-05. All in favor?

MR. PRICE: Those in favor: Spearman, Richardson, Smith, McDuffie, Cecere,
Sullivan and Cooke.

[Approved: Spearman, Richardson, Smith, McDuffie, Cecere, Cooke, Sullivan]

14 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: And that is all of us, so. Mr. Feigenbaum, you have
 15 your Special Exception and Staff will be in touch.

MR. FEIGENBAUM: Thank you very much. I appreciate the time of the Board 16 17 today. One thing I might add, you know, just a side note I didn't mention earlier. You know, the - we always build these things for co-location and this one is designed to 18 accommodate at least three other carriers. And I, you know, I mean, I don't have the, 19 20 the definitive crystal ball but I'm, you know, the way that it works is that there's always more and more demand for these sorts of facilities and this will most certainly help, you 21 22 know, other carriers as well. We've recently, you know, gotten involved in the Connect 23 South Carolina initiative here and, you know, they're doing an assessment for providing some additional broadband services to underserved, you know, communities and the whole effort I believe is designed to, to have Richland be, have Richland County be a technologically, a leader in that sort of technology on a nationwide basis. So we're involved in that and this is all kind of, all dovetails in the end. We just wanted to, to make the Board aware of that kind of stuff and let you know that, you know, not only are we here doing our thing, but we're also trying to be part of improving, you know, the general communications landscape for everybody. Cause it's really an important thing; with great communications comes a lot of economic opportunity. Thanks a lot.

MR. COOKE: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

10 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: At this time is there any other business before the 11 Board?

MR. PRICE: Just, Tracy, if you don't mind, do you want to tell them about the Neighborhood Conference? Tracy Hegler, our Director, is gonna tell you about our upcoming Neighborhood Conference, which I'm sure you're all invited to.

MS. HEGLER: Sure. Oh, definitely, certainly invited. It's next Saturday, it's our 9th 15 annual Neighborhood Planning Conference. It's put on the Neighborhood Improvement 16 Program. It's at the Columbia Metropolitan Center downtown from 8:30 to 2:30. Our 17 keynote speaker is Ellen Dunham Jones, she's out of Georgia Tech and she has written 18 books and is an acclaimed expert on how to redevelop graving and dying strip centers. 19 20 So kind of how to take some of these places across the landscapes that are no longer viable and are not economically working and redevelop them in a different manner. So 21 she's our keynote speaker but we have a number of sessions, excellent slate of 22 23 sessions to attend and it will count towards your training.

		1
1	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: The 5 th or the 12 th ?	
2	MS. HEGLER: That's the 12 th , so next Saturday. I know it's a busy time of year	
3	but we have about 100 to 120 citizens attend. And the real purpose of the conference is	
4	to kind of give back to our neighborhood leaders who do so much throughout the year	
5	and it's just a way to give them some special training and kind of some, a good time to	
6	meet with other leaders and neighborhood citizens around the county. But it's really	
7	grown into quite a fantastic event. It's a really highly professional session, so.	
8	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Thank you.	
9	MS. HEGLER: Sure.	
10	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, I have actually attended two of them and y'all do a	
11	fabulous job, so keep up the good work.	
12	MS. HEGLER: Thank you.	
13	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Mr. Spearman?	
14	MR. SPEARMAN: Geo, do we have any additions or deletions to the Land	
15	Development Code, and if so can you just mail them to us and we can swap out page	
16	by page?	
17	MR. PRICE: Yes. Mr. Price will do that. [Laughter]	
18	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, Is there any further business at this time?	
19	MR. PRICE: No, actually if y'all just give us a minute after the meeting, after you	
20	adjourn, Branden Madden, he's very involved with the Neighborhood Conference, he's	
21	actually gonna bring you some information just so you'll have that before you. And he	
22	should be up in just a second.	

			If there's	20	furthar	huainaaa	thop	thia	monting
		MCDUFFIE:	II there's	no	lunner	business,	then	this	meeting
idjoui	rned.								
		[Mee	eting adjou	irned	at 1:40	0pm]			