

RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL

ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Greg Pearce	Mike Montgomery	Joyce Dickerson, Chair	Paul Livingston	Val Hutchinson
District 6	District 8	District 2	District 4	District 9

JUNE 24, 2008 6:00 PM

2020 Hampton Street Council Chambers

CALL TO ORDER

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Regular Session: May 27, 2008

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

ITEMS FOR ACTION

- 2. Funding Request: Greater Blythewood Chamber of Commerce (\$300,000)
- 3. An ordinance amending the Richland County Code of Ordinances; Chapter 23, Taxation; Article VI, Local Hospitality Tax; Section 23-69, Distribution of Funds
- 4. A resolution in support of the issuance by the South Carolina Jobs-Economic Development Authority of its not exceeding \$35,000,000 industrial revenue bonds (South Carolina Electric and Gas Company Project), in one or more series, pursuant to the provisions of Title 41, Chapter 43, of the Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976, as amended

- **5.** Request to create a Detention Center Commission
- **6.** Discussion of employee health care benefits
- **7.** Discussion regarding the possibility of purchasing the existing farmers' market site with the City of Columbia
- **8.** Discussion regarding the use of landfill host fees for economic development initiatives

ADJOURNMENT



Su	hi	6	ct
Ju	v	C	u

Regular Session: May 27, 2008

Purpose

The committee is requested to approve the minutes from the May 27, 2008 A&F Committee meeting.

Background / Discussion

N/A

Financial Impact

N/A

Alternatives

- 1. Approve the minutes as submitted.
- 2. Approve the minutes with amendments.
- 3. Do not approve the minutes.
- 4.
- 5.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the committee approve the minutes.

Recommended By:	Department:	<u>Date:</u>
Joe Cronin	Administration	05-20-2008

Reviews

MINUTES OF



RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE **TUESDAY, MAY 27, 2008** 6:00 P.M.

In accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, a copy of the agenda was sent to radio and TV stations, newspapers, persons requesting notification, and was posted on the bulletin board located in the lobby of the County Administration Building.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Chair: Joyce Dickerson Valerie Hutchinson Member: Member: Paul Livingston Member:

L. Gregory Pearce, Jr.

Absent: Mike Montgomery

ALSO PRESENT: Joseph McEachern, Bernice G. Scott, Norman Jackson, Kit Smith, Milton Pope, Tony McDonald, Roxanne Matthews, Joe Cronin, Larry Smith, Stephany Snowden, Teresa Smith, Pam Davis, John Hixon, Michael Byrd, Rodolfo Callwood, Latausha Hopper, Monique Walters, Michelle Onley

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting started at approximately 6:02 p.m.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

April 22, 2008 (Regular Session) - Ms. Hutchinson moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to approve the minutes as submitted. The vote in favor was unanimous.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Ms. Hutchinson moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to approve the agenda as distributed. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Richland County Council Administration and Finance Committee May 27, 2008 Page Two

ITEMS FOR ACTION

<u>Request to amend the 2008 County Holiday Schedule</u> – Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Ms. Hutchinson, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. A discussion took place.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Request to award a contract to the Pollock Company for copier services – Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Hutchinson, to forward Option #1 to Council with a recommendation for approval. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Request to renew a contract with ABL in the amount of \$1,383,428.48 food service management at the Alvin S. Glenn Detention Center — Ms. Hutchinson moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. A discussion took place.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Request to renew a contract with Correct Care Solutions in the amount of \$3,217,350.00 for inmate medical services at the Alvin S. Glenn Detention Center – Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Request to renew a contract with W. B. Guimarin & Company in the amount of \$139,560.00 for maintenance of the climate control systems at the Alvin S. Glenn Detention Center – Ms. Hutchinson moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Request to renew a contract with Honeywell, Inc. in the amount of \$249,288.00 for maintenance coverage on the fire and security systems at Alvin S. Glenn

Detention Center – Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Ms. Hutchinson, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Request to approve purchase orders and contracts to support the operations of the Emergency Services Department (Diesel & Gasoline, EMS Radio Service, Fire Radio Service, and 911 Equipment Service Agreement) – Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. A discussion took place.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

<u>A resolution authorizing a policy on municipal incorporation</u> – Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Ms. Hutchinson, to hold this item in committee. The vote was in favor.

Richland County Council Administration and Finance Committee May 27, 2008 Page Three

An Ordinance to levy and impose a one percent (1%) sales and use tax, subject to a referendum, within Richland County pursuant to Section 4-37-30 of the Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976, as amended; to define the specific purposed and designate the projects for which the proceed of the tax may be used; to provide the maximum time for which such tax may be imposed; to provide the estimated cost of the projects funded from the proceeds of the tax; to provide for a county-wide referendum on the imposition of the sales and use tax and the issuance of general obligation bonds and to prescribe the contents of the ballot questions in the referendum; to provide for the conduct of the referendum by the Richland County Election Commission; to provide for the administration of the tax, if approved; and to provide for other matters relating thereto – Ms. Hutchinson moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to forward this item to Council without a recommendation. A discussion took place.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION/INFORMATION

Review of the Central Midlands Regional Transit Authority's FY 2007 Financial Audit – Ms. Mitzi Javers gave a brief overview of the CMRTA's 2007 audit.

The motion died for lack of a second. No further action was taken on this item.

Review of the financial impact associated with the amended business license fee schedule – A work session is scheduled on this item for June 12th at 4:00 p.m.

<u>Discussion regarding the possibility of purchasing the existing Farmers' Market site with the City of Columbia</u> – This item was held in committee.

<u>Discussion regarding the possible creation of a Detention Center Commission</u> – This item was held in committee.

<u>Discussion regarding the use of landfill host fees for economic development initatives</u> – This item was held in committee.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at approximately 6:58 p.m.

Submitted by,

Joyce Dickerson, Chair

The minutes were transcribed by Michelle M. Onley

Subject

Funding Request: Greater Blythewood Chamber of Commerce (\$300,000)

Purpose

Council is requested to consider a funding request in the amount of \$300,000 from the Town of Blythewood to support the creation of a Greater Blythewood Chamber of Commerce.

Background / Discussion

During the budget public hearing on June 2, 2008, Mayor Keith Bailey from the Town of Blythewood presented a funding request to council in the amount of \$300,000. According to a proposal submitted by the mayor (see attached), these funds would assist with the creation of a Greater Blythewood Chamber of Commerce.

Mayor Bailey submitted a proposed budget for the project in the amount of \$410,000 for FY 2008-09. Included in this budget is \$50,000 for operations, \$60,000 for marketing, and \$300,000 for capital acquisitions. Of this amount, \$300,000 is being requested from the county.

Financial Impact

The Town of Blythewood has requested \$300,000 from the county to support the creation of a Chamber of Commerce. If approved, council would be required to identify a funding source.

Alternatives

- 1. Approve the request to support the creation of a Chamber of Commerce in the Town of Blythewood and identify a funding source.
- 2. Do not approve the request.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Recommendation

This request is left to council's discretion.

Recommended By:Department:Date:Joe CroninAdministration06-10-2008

Reviews

Finance

Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers **Date:** 6/13/2008

Recommend: No

Comments Regarding Recommendation:

Recommendation is not based on merits of request but because request was not included in the budget process. Approval would require a budget amendment and identification of funds.

Legal Cover Memo

Reviewed by: Larry Smith **Date:** 6/18/2008

Recommend:No recommendation Item # 2

Comments Regarding Recommendation:

Administration

Reviewed by: Tony McDonald **Date:** 6/18/2008

Recommend:No

Comments Regarding Recommendation:

Recommend denial as this request is being made outside of the budget process.

ttachment number 1



TOWN OF BLYTHEWOOD Request for Funding

Date: May 29, 2008 **Ttl Project Cost:**

\$410,000

Amount Requested: \$300,000

I. **Project Name:** **Transition from Business Coalition to Chamber**

II. Organization: **Greater Blythewood Chamber of Commerce**

Sponsor Name:

Mayor Keith T. Bailey

Title: Mayor

Phone:

(803) 754-0501 office / (803) 309-5164 cell

Contact Person: Laura Kiser

Title: Chamber President

Address: 20 East Wessex Way

Blythewood, SC 29016

Phone: (803) 351-2988

III. **Description of Project - Purpose**

- A. General Description: In concert with the Town of Blythewood, the Blythewood Business Coalition voted to establish a Chamber of Commerce in the greater Blythewood area in an effort to more "traditionally" service the business and commerce community. The Town of Blythewood has shown wholesale support via direct budgetary allocations and multiple "in-kind" service including personnel support, office space and equipment.
- B. The Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) portion of the project will allow us to really greet the travelers coming to the Town of Blythewood and Richland County via I-77. We plan to acquire and restore a historic structure to create a period sensitive 'place' for a Welcome Center, Visitors venue and Chamber of Commerce offices. The property we are most interested in is listed as a Richland County Preservation structure will cost \$375,000 and more to renovate. The Town of Blythewood has set aside some additional dollars (up to \$250,000) to assist in the renovations.

C. Duration of Project

Start Date: July 1, 2008 Completion Date: In perpetuity

D. Permits Required: (or N/A if none required) N/A

IV. A. Cost of Project

1. Itemized list with cost per item

 Operations
 \$ 50,000

 Marketing
 60,000

 CIP-Acquisitions
 300,000

Total 2008-2009 Greater Blythewood Chamber of Commerce expenditures

\$410,000

B. Source(s) of Funding

Membership & Sponsorships (1st year) \$ 26,000

Town of Blythewood General Fund \$ 10,000

Town of Blythewood Accommodation Fund \$ 46,000

Town of Blythewood Hospitality Fund \$ 28,000

Richland County General Fund \$300,000

TOTAL 2008-2009 Greater Blythewood

Chamber of Commerce revenue \$410,000

Subject

An ordinance amending the Richland County Code of Ordinances; Chapter 23, Taxation; Article VI, Local Hospitality Tax; Section 23-69, Distribution of Funds

Purpose

Council is requested to consider making amendments to the county's Hospitality Tax Ordinance to include the Black Expo, Renaissance Foundation, and Southeast Rural Community Outreach Ministries as ordinance agencies under Section 23-69.

Background / Discussion

The Richland County Hospitality Tax Ordinance went into effect in FY 2003-04. Sec. 23-69 of this ordinance outlines the distribution of Hospitality Tax funds as follows:

"The County shall distribute the Local Hospitality Tax collected and placed in the "Richland County Local Hospitality Tax Revenue Fund" to each of the following agencies and purposes ("Agency") in the following amounts during fiscal year 2003-2004:

- Columbia Museum of Art (\$650,000)
- Historic Columbia (\$250,000)
- EdVenture Museum (\$100,000)
- County Promotions (\$200,000)"

The ordinance included a provision that allowed a 3% increase per year for each of the agencies listed above. In FY 2007-08, the ordinance was amended to limit future increases to up to 3% based on Hospitality Tax revenue projections. Based on the recently adopted 2008-09 budget, the breakdown for ordinance agencies is as follows:

- Columbia Museum of Art (\$731,581)
- Historic Columbia (\$281,377)
- EdVenture Museum (\$112,551)
- County Promotions (\$323,981)

During the council meeting on May 20, 2008, Councilman Joe McEachern made a motion to add two new recipients to the Hospitality Tax Ordinance: the Black Expo and the Renaissance Foundation. In addition, during second reading of the FY2008-09 budget on June 2, 2008, Councilwoman Bernice Scott made a motion to include Southeast Rural Community Outreach Ministries as an ordinance agency.

An amendment to the Hospitality Tax ordinance has been drafted for council's consideration based on these two motions. Since the council motions did not specify an amount for these three organizations, the funding amounts have been left blank and may be filled in at council's discretion.

In FY 2008-09, the Black Expo will receive \$25,000; the Renaissance Foundation will receive \$100,000 (third year of a five-year commitment); and Southeast Rural Community Outreach Ministries will receive \$250,000. All amounts were taken from Undesignated Hospitality Tax funds in the FY 2008-09 budget.

Financial Impact

The financial impact will depend on the amounts approved by council for each of the three agencies. Any funds that are dedicated to these agencies through an annual appropriation in the Hospitality Tax ordinance will reduce the amount of revenue available for discretionary appropriations; debt service on capital projects such as the northeast recreation complex, farmers market and Township Auditorium; and/or the amount of revenue available as undesignated funds in future years.

<u>Alternatives</u>

Cover Memo

1. Approve the request to include the Black Expo, Renaissance Foundation, and Southeast Rural Collection Outreach Ministries as agencies receiving an annual appropriation in the Hospitality Tax ordinance. If approved, council will also need to determine the funding level for each agency under the amended ordinance.

- 2. Do not approve the request to amend the Hospitality Tax ordinance.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Recommendation

This request is left to council's discretion.

Recommended By:Department:Date:Joe CroninAdministration06-10-2008

Reviews

Finance

Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers **Date:** 6/13/2008

Recommend: No recommendation

Comments Regarding Recommendation:

Council's discretion.

Legal

Reviewed by: Larry Smith **Date:** 6/20/2008

Recommend: No recommendation

Comments Regarding Recommendation:

Council's discretion.

Administration

Reviewed by: Tony McDonald **Date:** 6/20/2008

Recommend: No recommendation

Comments Regarding Recommendation:

This issue is left to the Council's discretion. It should be emphasized, however, that future appropriation of undesignated funds will reduce the amount that is available for debt service, which could have a negative impact on the northeast recreation complex and the proposed Lower Richland recreation facilities.

Option 1 - Amendment

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY COUNCIL FOR RICHLAND COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. -08HR

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE RICHLAND COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES; CHAPTER 23, TAXATION; ARTICLE VI, LOCAL HOSPITALITY TAX; SECTION 23-69, DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.

Pursuant to the authority granted by the Constitution and the General Assembly of the State of South Carolina, BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL FOR RICHLAND COUNTY:

<u>SECTION I.</u> The Richland County Code of Ordinances: Chapter 23, Taxation; Article VI, Local Hospitality Tax; Section 23-69, Distribution of Funds; is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 23-69. Distribution of Funds.

(a) (1) The County shall distribute the Local Hospitality Tax collected and placed in the "Richland County Local Hospitality Tax Revenue Fund" to each of the following agencies and purposes ("Agency") in the following amounts during fiscal year 2003-2004:

Columbia Museum of Art	\$650,000
Historic Columbia	250,000
EdVenture Museum	100,000
County Promotions	200,000

(2) The County shall distribute the Local Hospitality Tax collected and placed in the "Richland County Local Hospitality Tax Revenue Fund" to each of the following agencies and purposes ("Agency") in the following amounts beginning in fiscal year 2009-10:

Black Pages International (Black Expo)	\$
Renaissance Foundation	
Southeast Rural Community Outreach Ministries	

- (2) (3) The amounts distributed to the Columbia Museum of Art, Historic Columbia, and EdVenture Museum, shall be paid quarterly beginning October 1, 2003. The amount distributed to agencies receiving funding for County Promotions shall be paid annually beginning July 1, 2008. The amounts distributed to Black Pages International (Black Expo), Renaissance Foundation, and Southeast Rural Community Outreach Ministries, shall be paid quarterly beginning July 1, 2009.
- (3) (4) As a condition of receiving its allocation, each Agency listed in subsections (a)(1) and (a)(2) must annually present to the County an affirmative marketing plan for the inclusion of all citizens of Richland

County and must also annually offer some "free" or discounted services to Richland County citizens. If an Agency fails to comply with these requirements, its portion of the Local Hospitality Tax shall be retained in the Richland County Local Hospitality Tax Revenue Fund and distributed as provided in subsection (d) (f) below.

- (4) (5) In the event Local Hospitality Tax revenues are not adequate to fund the Agencies listed above in the prescribed amounts, each Agency will receive a proportionate share of the actual revenues received, with each Agency's share to be determined by the percentage of the total revenue it would have received had the revenues allowed for full funding as provided in subsections (a)(1) and (a)(2) above.
- (b) In each of fiscal years 2004-2005 and 2005-2006, the Local Hospitality Tax shall be distributed to each Agency named in subsection (a)(1) above in the same amounts and on the same terms and conditions, together with a three percent (3%) increase in each of fiscal year 2004-2005 and 2005-2006.
- (c) In fiscal year 2006-2007, the amount of Local Hospitality Tax to be distributed annually to each Agency named in subsection (a)(1) above shall be established in the County's FY 2006-2007 Budget Ordinance.
- (d) Beginning in fiscal year 2007-2008 and continuing thereafter, the amount of Local Hospitality Tax to be distributed annually to each Agency named in subsection (a)(1) above shall be increased based on the revenue growth rate as determined by trend analysis of the past three years, but in any event not more than 3%.
- (e) Beginning in fiscal year 2010-11 and continuing thereafter, the amount of Local Hospitality Tax to be distributed annually to each Agency named in subsection (a)(2) above shall be increased based on the revenue growth rate as determined by trend analysis of the past three years, but in any event not more than 3%.
- (e) (f) All Local Hospitality Tax revenue not distributed pursuant to subsections (a) through (e) (e) above shall be retained in the Richland County Local Hospitality Tax Revenue Fund and distributed as directed by County Council for projects related to tourism development, including, but not limited to, the planning, development, construction, promotion, marketing, operations, and financing (including debt service) of the State Farmer's Market (in lower Richland County), Township Auditorium, a new recreation complex (in northern Richland County), recreation capital improvements, Riverbanks Zoo, and other expenditures as provided in Article 7, Chapter 1, Title 6, Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976 as amended.

<u>SECTION III.</u> <u>Severability</u>. If any section, subsection, or clause of this ordinance shall be deemed to be unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, the validity of the remaining sections, subsections, and clauses shall not be affected thereby.

SECTION IV. Conflicting Ordinances conflict with the provisions of this ordinar	Repealed. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in ace are hereby repealed.
SECTION V. Effective Date. This ordina	nce shall be enforced from and after, 2008.
	RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL
	By:
	Joseph McEacheth, Chan
Attest this day of	
, 2008.	
Michielle R. Cannon-Finch	-
Clerk of Council	
RICHLAND COUNTY ATTORNEY'S C	OFFICE
Approved As To LEGAL Form Only.	-
No Opinion Rendered As To Content.	

Subject

A resolution in support of the issuance by the South Carolina Jobs-Economic Development Authority of its not exceeding \$35,000,000 industrial revenue bonds (South Carolina Electric and Gas Company Project), in one or more series, pursuant to the provisions of Title 41, Chapter 43, of the Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976, as amended

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to request Council to hold a public hearing jointly with the South Carolina Jobs-Economic Development Authority ("JEDA") in connection with the issuance by JEDA of not exceeding \$35,000,000 economic development revenue bonds for the benefit of the South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (the "Company") and to approve and adopt a resolution in support of the issuance thereof as required by Title 41, Chapter 43 of the Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976, as amended (the "Enabling Act").

Council recently enacted Ordinance No. 089-07HR which established the County's policies regarding conduit bond issues. It should be noted that the Ordinance does not apply to this request because in this transaction JEDA is serving as the conduit bond issuer, rather than the County. The County's only role will be to hold a public hearing and consider the adoption of a resolution in support of the issuance of the debt by JEDA.

Background / Discussion

The Enabling Act authorizes JEDA to utilize any of its program funds to establish loan programs for the purpose of reducing the cost of capital to business enterprises which meet the eligibility requirements of Section 41-43-150 and for other purposes described in Section 41-43-160 thereof and thus provide maximum opportunities for the creation and retention of jobs and improvement of the standard of living of the citizens of the State of South Carolina.

The Enabling Act further provides that JEDA may issue bonds upon receipt of a certified resolution by the county in which the project will be located supporting the project and evidence of a public hearing held not less than fifteen days after publication of notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the project is or will be located. The Company will take steps to comply with such advertising requirement, and Richland County need not take further action with regard to the published notice of public hearing.

The purpose of these JEDA bonds would be to finance the acquisition, by construction and purchase, of solid waste disposal facilities at the Company's Wateree Electric Generating Station, including but not limited to, buildings and improvements to land, desulfurization systems, dewatering systems, conveyors and transportation systems, waste handling and disposal equipment, landfill improvements and equipment and other improvements and equipment functionally related and subordinate thereto.

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to Richland County associated with this request. The Bonds will not give rise to a pecuniary liability of Richland County or a charge against it general credit or taxing power.

Alternatives

- 1. Approve and adopt the resolution in support of the issuance of bonds by JEDA for the Company.
- 2. Do not approve the resolution in support of the issuance of bonds by JEDA.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

<u>Recommendation</u>

Cover Memo

It is recommended that Richland Council approve the request to hold the public hearing and adopt the resolution in support of the issuance of economic development revenue bonds by JEDA for he County in an amount than \$35,000,000.

If forwarded to the full council, this item will be on the July 15, 2008 council agenda for consideration following a

public hearing.

Recommended By:

Department:

Date:

Staff

Administration

06-15-2008

Reviews

Finance

Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers

Recommend:No recommendation **Comments Regarding Recommendation:**

No recommendation.

Date: 6/16/2008

Legal

Reviewed by: Larry Smith

Recommend: No recommendation

Comments Regarding Recommendation:

Council discretion.

Administration

Reviewed by: Tony McDonald

Recommend: Yes

Comments Regarding Recommendation:

Date: 6/20/2008

Date: 6/20/2008

RESOLUTION	

IN SUPPORT OF THE ISSUANCE BY THE SOUTH CAROLINA JOBS-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF ITS NOT EXCEEDING \$35,000,000 INDUSTRIAL REVENUE BONDS (SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY PROJECT), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF TITLE 41, CHAPTER 43, OF THE CODE OF LAWS OF SOUTH CAROLINA 1976, AS AMENDED.

WHEREAS, the South Carolina Jobs-Economic Development Authority (the "Authority") is authorized and empowered under and pursuant to the provisions of Title 41, Chapter 43, of the Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976, as amended (the "Act"), to utilize any of its program funds to establish loan programs for the purpose of reducing the cost of capital to business enterprises which meet the eligibility requirements of Section 41-43-150 of the Act and for other purposes described in Section 41-43-160 of the Act and thus provide maximum opportunities for the creation and retention of jobs and improvement of the standard of living of the citizens of the State of South Carolina; and

WHEREAS, the Authority is further authorized by Section 41-43-110 of the Act to issue revenue bonds (including refunding revenue bonds) payable by the Authority solely from a revenue producing source and secured by a pledge of said revenues in order to provide funds for any purpose authorized by the Act; and

WHEREAS, the Authority and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, a South Carolina corporation (the "Company"), are parties to an Inducement Agreement (the "Inducement Agreement"), pursuant to which and in order to implement the public purposes enumerated in the Act, and in furtherance thereof to comply with the undertakings of the Authority pursuant to the Inducement Agreement, the Authority proposes, subject to such approval of the State Budget and Control Board of South Carolina and Richland County, South Carolina (the "County"), as may be required by law, to issue not exceeding \$35,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its Industrial Revenue Bonds (South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Project), in one or more series (the "Bonds"), under and pursuant to Section 41-43-110 of the Act to finance the acquisition, by construction and purchase, of solid waste disposal facilities at the Company's Wateree Electric Generating Station, including but not limited to, buildings and improvements to land, desulfurization systems, dewatering systems, conveyors and transportation systems, waste handling and disposal equipment, landfill improvements and equipment and other improvements and equipment functionally related and subordinate thereto (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Company is projecting that the completed Project will result in providing permanent employment in the County and surrounding areas for approximately five people within 12 months and 17 people within 24 months, when operating at full capacity, with a resulting alleviation of unemployment and a maintenance of payrolls and other public benefits incident to the conduct of such businesses not otherwise provided locally, and the number of jobs resulting from the assistance authorized herein bears a reasonable relationship to the principal amount of the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the County Council of the County (the "County Council") and the Authority have on this date jointly held a public hearing, duly noticed by publication in a newspaper having general circulation in the County, not less than 15 days prior to the date hereof, at which all interested persons have been given a reasonable opportunity to express their views;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Council of the County, as follows:

SECTION 1. It is hereby found, determined and declared that the Project is anticipated to subserve the purposes of the Act and to benefit the general public welfare of the County by maintaining services, employment, recreation or other public benefits not otherwise provided locally.

SECTION 2. The County Council supports the Authority in its determination to issue the Bonds to undertake the Project.

SECTION 3. The amount of Bonds required to undertake the Project is not exceeding \$35,000,000.

SECTION 4. The Project will not give rise to a pecuniary liability of the County or a charge against its general credit or taxing power.

SECTION 5. All ordinances and resolutions and parts thereof in conflict herewith are to the extent of such conflict hereby repealed, and this resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its adoption.

Adopted this day of	, 2008.
	RICHLAND COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA
(SEAL)	D _V .
	By:
ATTEST:	
Clerk to County Council	

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the South Carolina Jobs-Economic Development Authority ("JEDA") and the County Council of Richland County, South Carolina (the "County"), will hold a public hearing with respect to a proposed issuance by JEDA of its Industrial Revenue Bonds (South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Project), in one or more series (the "Bonds"). The proceeds of the Bonds will be made available to South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, a South Carolina corporation (the "Company") to finance the acquisition, by construction and purchase, of solid waste disposal facilities at the Company's Wateree Electric Generating Station, including but not limited to, buildings and improvements to land, desulfurization systems, dewatering systems, conveyors and transportation systems, waste handling and disposal equipment, landfill improvements and equipment and other improvements and equipment functionally related and subordinate thereto located in the County (the "Project"). The Project will be located at 142 Wateree Station Road, Eastover, South Carolina 29044. The maximum aggregate face amount of the Bonds will be \$35,000,000. The Company will be the owner and initial operator of the Project.

The Bonds will be payable by JEDA solely and exclusively out of payments to be made by the Company and are to be secured, *inter alia*, by a pledge of the revenues derived by JEDA from the Company in connection with the Project. The Bonds will not constitute an indebtedness of JEDA, the State of South Carolina or the County within the meaning of any South Carolina constitutional provision or statutory limitation (other than Article X, Section 13(9) of the State Constitution permitting indebtedness payable from a source other than revenues derived from a tax or license) nor give rise to a pecuniary liability of JEDA, the State of South Carolina or the County. The Bonds will not constitute a charge against the general credit of JEDA, the State of South Carolina or the County or the taxing powers of the State of South Carolina or the County. JEDA has no taxing powers.

Any person may appear and be heard at the public hearing relating to the proposed issuance of the Bonds which will be held on Tuesday, July 15, 2008 at 6:00 p.m., in the County Council Chambers, located on the 2nd Floor at the Richland County Administration Building, 2020 Hampton Street, Columbia, South Carolina.

SOUTH CAROLINA JOBS-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Elliott E. Franks, III, Executive Director and Chief Executive Officer

RICHLAND COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA Michielle Cannon-Finch, Clerk to County Council

Subject

Request to create a Detention Center Commission

Purpose

Council is requested to consider creating a Detention Center Commission to oversee operations at the Alvin S. Glenn Detention Center.

Background / Discussion

This item was referred to the A&F Committee for discussion by Councilman Norman Jackson. Staff has found one such commission in the state: the Orangeburg-Calhoun Regional Law Enforcement Commission. Additional back up information is attached.

This item was discussed during the May 2008 A&F Committee meeting. No action was taken, and the item was kept in committee.

Financial Impact

N/A - Discussion only.

Alternatives

1. Bring back for action.

Table.
 Defer.

4. No action / Discussion only.

5.

Recommendation

This item is left to council's discretion.

Recommended By:	<u>Department:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Council Motion (Norman Jackson)	County Coucil	March 18, 2008

Reviews

Detention Center Commission

At the March 18, 2008 Richland County Council meeting, Councilman Jackson, during the Motion Period, forwarded the possibility of <u>creating a Detention</u> Center Commission to the A&F Committee.

After researching this motion, staff has determined that there is only one such Commission in the State of South Carolina.

This is the <u>Orangeburg-Calhoun Regional Law Enforcement Commission</u>, which is comprised of members of the City of Orangeburg, Calhoun County, and Orangeburg County.

The ordinance that created the Orangeburg-Calhoun Regional Law Enforcement Commission is attached.

Please advise if Council wishes to pursue this concept further.

P 02/08 Attachment number 1 P.2 Page 2 of 8

Ordinance 82-4

First Reading August 3, 1982
Second Reading August 20, 1982
Third Reading September 3, 1982
Notice of Enactment September 3, 1982

88/28/2002 68:53 6638741242

82-4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CALHOUN COUNTY COUNCIL

A Joint Ordinance To Create The Orangeburg-Calhoun Regional Law Enforcement Commission And To Provide For Its Board Of Directors And Its Duties And Powers.

Effective September 3, 1982

8038741242

88\58\5865 68:23

82-4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CALHOUN COUNTY COUNCIL

A Joint Ordinance To Create The Orangeburg-Calhoun Regional
Law Enforcement Commission And To Provide For Its Board Of Directors
And Its Duties And Powers.

Whereas, Orangeburg City Council, Calhoun County Council, and Orangeburg County Council are in agreement on this ordinance and for it to become effective. Now, Therefore,

Be it ordained by the Orangeburg City Council, Calhoun County Council, and Orangeburg County Council, State of South Carolina:

Section 1. There is hereby created, formed and established the Orangeburg-Calhoun Regional Law Enforcement Commission.

Section 2. The powers and duties of the Commission shall be exercised and performed by a Board of Directors (Board), which shall consist of three (3) members from Orangeburg County, two (2) members from the City of Orangeburg, and two (2) members from Calhoun County, and they shall serve for a term of two (2) years.

Section 3. Initially, the membership of the Board shall be comprised of those persons presently serving on the Orangeburg-Calhoun Law Enforcement Complex Commission. Provided, however, that the members representing Orangeburg County shall not be re-appointed as their respective terms expire until September of 1982 so as to reduce the current five (5) members from Orangeburg County to three (3). As the current terms expire, all vacancies shall be appointed for a two (2) year term, except that this provision shall only apply to Orangeburg County upon the expiration of the terms of the members serving until September of 1982.

88/28/2882 83:53 BB38741242

Section 4. The Board shall annually elect a Chairman, a Vice-Chairman, and such other officers it deems necessary. The Board shall meet upon the call of its Chairman or a majority of its membership, but not less frequently than once per month.

Section 5. The Board of Directors may do all things necessary or convenient for the establishment and maintenance of adequate facilities for law enforcement and incarceration of prisoners for the City of Orangeburg, Calhoun County, and Orangeburg County. The Board shall have no power to create any indebtedness or obligation against the City of Orangeburg, Calhoun County, or Orangeburg County without the written consent of the governing body of the respective governmental entities.

Section 6. The Board shall be empowered to:

- (a) Adopt such bylaws, rules and regulations for the conduct of its business and expenditure of its funds as it may deem advisable.
- (b) Operate the present facilities and such other facilities as it may lease, acquire or construct.
- (c) Acquire by gift, purchase or otherwise all kinds and descriptions of real and personal property.
 - (d) Accept gifts, grants, donations, devises and bequests,
- (e) Enlarge and improve any facility and land on which it is situated that it may acquire or construct.
- (f) Adequately staff and equip the Complex and any facility that it may operate.
- (g) Provide reasonable regulations concerning the facilities maintained by the Board.
 - (h) Apply to the Federal Government and any other governmental

08\28\2002 08:60 Z00Z/8Z/80

agency for a grant of monies to aid in the construction, maintenance and equipment of any facilities.

- (i) Dispose of or lease any property, real or personal, that it may possess, provided that it shall not dispose of or lease the Complex or any real estate without first having obtained the consent in writing of the governing bodies of the City of Orangeburg, Calhoun County, and Orangeburg County.
- (j) Enter into contracts for the construction and repair of the Complex and any other facilities and to contract for equipment and supplies for the same.

Section 7. The Board shall at all times keep full and accurate account of its actings and doings and of its receipts and expenditures and, at least once annually, a complete audit of the affairs of the Complex shall be made by a qualified public accountant. Copies of the audit shall be filed with the Administrators for each governing body. All monthly, quarterly or semi-annual reports required by the Board shall also be available to the members of each governing body and records of the Complex shall at all times be available for inspection by each governing body or its authorized representative.

Section 8. The Board shall annually provide for a budget, which shall be funded by the governmental entities in a ratio as follows:

Orangeburg County 69.9% City of Orangeburg 17.1% Calhoun County 13.0% :

The Board shall submit the annual budget to the administrator of Orangeburg County, who shall submit the budget request to the other administrators of the respective councils. Their consensus shall then be presented to their respective councils. In the event the three governing bodies cannot agree on budget funding, the matter shall be referred to an arbitration panel, one member selected by each governmental entity, but in no event shall the arbitration results be binding until approved by the respective councils.

Section 9. The name of the Complex shall be Orangeburg-Calhoun Regional Law Enforcement Complex.

Section 10. No obligation of the Commission shall ever constitute an indebtedness of the City of Orangeburg, Calhoun County or Orangeburg County within the meaning of any State constitutional provision or statutory limitation and shall never constitute nor give rise to a pecuniary liability of any of the governmental entities or a charge against any of the governmental entities general credit or taxing powers.

Section 11. This ordinance replaces and is in lieu of any enabling legislation or statutes heretofore creating the Orangeburg-Calhoun Law Enforcement Complex and upon its adoption by the three governing bodies hereby terminates the existance of the Orangeburg-Calhoun Law Enforcement Complex Commission.

Section 12. This ordinance shall become effective upon the third reading thereof by the Orangeburg City Council, The Calhoun County Council, and the Orangeburg County Council, and shall expire

08\58\5005 08:E3 8038\41545

After two years from such effective date unless extended by joint action of the respective Councils.

Above ordinance read third

time Seprember 3, 1982

Calhoun County Council

Ву

Actabe ::

Sea1

Read the third time by Orangeburg County Council August

16, 1982

Orangeburg County Council

By Chairman

sessen le destifort

Read the third time by Orangeburg City Council July

20, 1982

Orangaburg City Council

By <u>Le</u>

ZDZ TO/ AFAR

88/28/2882 89:53

Subject

Discussion of employee health care benefits

Purpose

Council is asked to consider changes to the county's employee health care benefits program. This item was forwarded to the A&F Committee for discussion by separate motions made by Councilman Bill Malinowski and Councilman Norman Jackson.

Background / Discussion

During the May 20, 2008 council meeting, Councilman Norman Jackson made a motion to refer to the A&F Committee consideration of a change to the county's employee benefits program to require all new employees to pay a portion of their health care costs.

Subsequently, during second reading of the FY 2009 budget, Councilman Bill Malinowski made a motion to cap health insurance benefits for current employees at 50%. This motion was also referred to the A&F Committee for consideration.

Both of these motions are now before council for discussion. Staff from the Human Resources Department will be at the committee meeting to answer questions. A copy of monthly insurance rates for employees is also attached as information.

Financial Impact

N/A - This item is on the agenda for discussion only at this time.

Alternatives

1. Bri	ng back as an action item.
--------	----------------------------

Table.
 Defer.

4. No action / Discussion only.

5.

Recommendation

This item is for discussion only at this time. If the committee wishes to proceed with either motion, it is recommended that the committee direct staff on how to proceed with the request.

Recommended By:	<u>Department:</u>	Date:
Council Motion (Norman Jackson / Bill Malinowski)	County Coucil	05-20-2008 / 06-02-2008

Reviews

SCDOT

2008 ACTIVE MONTHLY INSURANCE RATES

EMPLOYER				
	Health	Dental	Life	LTD
Employee Only	260.90	11.71	.35	3.23
Employee/Spouse	514.70	11.71	.35	3.23
Employee/Child	369.14	11.71	.35	3.23
Full Family	602.56	11.71	.35	3.23

HEALTH EMPLOYEE						
	Savings	Standard	BlueChoice	CIGNA	MUSC	
Employee Only	9.28	93.46	129.60	136.30	194.82	
Employee/Spouse	72.56	237.50	380.50	390.94	508.68	
Employee/Child	20.28	142.46	282.14	288.66	345.76	
Full Family	108.56	294.58	566.48	577.34	644.66	

DENTA	L EMPLOYE	E
	Basic	Plus
Employee Only	0.00	20.60
Employee/Spouse	7.64	39.00
Employee/Child	13.72	42.56
Full Family	21.34	60.96

DEPENDENT LIFE		
15,000	1.24	

SUPPLEMENTAL LTD AGE 90 DAY 180 DAY < 31 0.00065 0.00050 0.00069 31-40 0.00089 41-50 0.00137 0.00179 51 - 600.00360 0.00277 61-65 0.00433 0.00333 > 65 0.00528 0.00406

STEPS TO CALCULATE SLTD MONTHLY PREMIUM

- 1. Always select floating decimal (F) on your calculator.
- 2. Divide gross annual salary by 12 to determine monthly salary.
- 3. Multiply monthly salary by rate factor from table.
- 4. Drop digits to right of 2 decimal places; do not round.
- 5. If number is even, this is the monthly premium.
- 6. If number is odd, add .01, this is the monthly premium.

Subject

Discussion regarding the possibility of purchasing the existing farmers' market site with the City of Columbia

Purpose

Council is requested to discuss the possibility of purchasing the existing farmers' market site with the City of Columbia.

Background / Discussion

This item was forwarded to the A&F Committee for discussion by Councilman Norman Jackson. Additional back up information is attached.

This item was discussed during the May 2008 A&F Committee meeting. No action was taken, and the item was kept in committee.

Financial Impact

N/A - This item is for discussion only.

<u>Alternatives</u>

Table.
 Defer.

4. No action / Discussion only.

5.

Recommendation

This item is left to council's discretion.

Recommended By:	<u>Department:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Council Motion (Norman Jackson)	County Coucil	April 15, 2008

Reviews

Farmers' Market Motion

The following motion was made at the April 15, 2008 Council meeting:

Farmers' Market: Mr. Jackson forwarded to the May D&S Committee the exploration of the purchase of the Farmers' Market at the current location, with a partnership with the City of Columbia, and to resell the unused property to USC, but give local entities first choice.

Information / Discussion:

The overall package that Richland County offered to secure the farmers' market relocation in unincorporated Richland County included the following:

- Richland County purchased the 194-acre tract for \$4.5 million dollars. The County then turned over 144 acres to the SC Department of Agriculture for the development of the retail component of the new market, and kept 50 acres for the development of the wholesale component of the new market.
- Richland County committed \$250,000 annually for 20 years in hospitality tax revenues for marketing and promotions for the new market. (\$5,000,000 Total)
- Further, the County committed to bond the design and construction costs of the facilities for the participating wholesale vendors, and contributed \$500,000 for architectural and engineering costs for the retail side of the market.
- The County also committed no less than 20 acres for the mitigation of wetlands.
- In all, the County has committed a substantial investment--over \$10 million dollars--to the development of this new S.C. State Farmers' Market in Richland County.

It now appears that the farmers' market will relocate to a site in Lexington County.

Currently, the State still maintains ownership of the 144 acres the County deeded over to it via the MOU

Recommendation:

Staff continues to pursue every possible option (based on our legal position) to secure the property purchased by the County, and to reject any attempt to pay any costs incurred by the State Department of Agriculture. Staff, however, needs specific direction from Council with regards to this motion.

Subject

Discussion regarding the use of landfill host fees for economic development initiatives

Purpose

Council is requested to consider using landfill host fees and/or other alternative sources of revenue for economic development and beautification initiatives in the county.

Background / Discussion

This item was referred to the A&F Committee for discussion by Councilman Norman Jackson. This item was first discussed during the April A&F Committee meeting. During the April meeting, council did not take any action; however, staff was directed to research alternative sources of revenue which coulc potentially be used for such projects. Additional information is attached.

This item was on the A&F agenda for discussion during the May meeting. The committee requested that this item be brought back in June for additional discussion.

Financial Impact

N/A - Discussion only.

Alternatives

- 1. Bring back for action.
- 2. Table.
- 3. Defer.
- 4. No action / Discussion only.

5.

Recommendation

This item is left to council's discretion.

Recommended By:	Department:	<u>Date:</u>
Council Motion (Norman Jackson)	County Coucil	March 18, 2008

Reviews

Landfill Host Fees: Economic Development

The following motion was made at the March 18, 2008 Council Meeting:

Landfill Host Fees: Mr. Jackson forwarded to A&F the issue of host fees being allocated to communities for economic development.

Information / Discussion:

Currently, landfill host fees are paid by private landfills which dispose of waste generated outside of Richland County. The two landfills to which host fees apply are Waste Management's Screaming Eagle Road facility and the Northeast Sanitary Landfill. Each landfill pays \$1 per ton for every ton generated outside of Richland County.

Because the Solid Waste Division operates as an enterprise fund, all fees generated by solid waste related services are utilized for the operation of the Division. In the current fiscal year, host fees of \$365,000 are anticipated; while that number increases to \$390,000 for FY 09. If all or part of the host fees were to be diverted for use in economic development projects, an alternative funding source would have to be identified in order for the Solid Waste Division to continue operating at its current level, or services would have to be reduced.

At its May 6, 2008 meeting, the Economic Development Committee recommended that 3% of prospective FILOT revenues be apportioned for Economic Development uses to include, but not be limited to, the following:

- Preparation For and Land Acquisition (Environmental Assessments, Site Evaluation, Master Plan, Purchase of Industrial Park property, Purchase of Business Park property, etc.)
- Property / Site Improvements or Expansion (Water, Sewer, Electricity, Natural Gas, Telecommunications, Rail, Clearing, Grading, Landscaping, Signage including Design / Engineering / Construction, etc.)
- Transportation Facilities
- Purchase / Acquisition of "Pollution Control Equipment" (Equipment required to meet federal and state environmental requirements)
- Engineering, Design, Construction, Construction Management, Improvements, Expansion of Spec Buildings
- Marketing
- Approved Training costs, including training facilities, not covered by the CATT
- Organizational / Agency Funding (Central SC Alliance, Engenuity, etc.)
- Small Business Development

Eight out of the fourteen counties (57%) responding to a 2006 SCAC survey regarding FILOT apportionment responded "yes" when asked if their county allocated FILOT funds for economic development purposes. The overwhelming

majority of these counties apportioning FILOT revenues did so at the 5% level. The Economic Development Committee recommends 3% apportionment for the first year, to include businesses reporting from January 15, 2009 forward. This percentage will be reviewed during the FY 10 budget process, and perhaps recommended for modification if desired.

Alternatives:

- 1. Divert a percentage of landfill host fees for use in economic development matters. By doing so, Council must locate a funding source to replace those funds being diverted for economic development matters.
- **2.** Approve the Economic Development Committee's FILOT apportionment recommendation, or an amended version of the recommendation.
- **3.** Approve another funding source entirely for economic development in communities.

Recommendation:

This decision is at Council's discretion.